arXiv:1212.5861v1 [math.RT] 24 Dec 2012

Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, II

Toshiaki Shoji* and Karine Sorlin†

Abstract. This paper is the second part of the papers in the same title. In this paper, we prove a conjecture of Achar-Henderson, which asserts that the Poincaré polynomials of the intersection cohomology complex associated to the closure of Sp_{2n}-orbits in the Kato's exotic nilpotent cone coincide with the modified Kostka polynomials indexed by double partitions, introduced by the first author. Actually this conjecture was recently proved by Kato by a different method. Our approach is based on the theory of character sheaves on the exotic symmetric space.

Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [SS]. We basically follow the notation in [SS]. In particular, V is an $2n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{k}$, an algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ with $\operatorname{ch}\mathbf{k} \ne 2$, and $G = GL(V), H = G^\theta \simeq Sp_{2n}$ for an involutive automorphism θ on G. Let $G^{\iota\theta} = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g^{-1}\}$ (here $\iota : G \to G, g \mapsto g^{-1}$) and $G^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}}$ be the set of unipotent elements in $G^{\iota\theta}$. We denote $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}} = G^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}} \times V$, on which H acts diagonally. $\mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}}$ is isomorphic to the exotic nilpotent cone introduced in [Ka1], and the set of H-orbits in $\mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}}$ is naturally parametrized by the set $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ of double partitions of n. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol\lambda}$ be the orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}}$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, and we consider the intersection cohomology $K = \operatorname{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol\lambda}$. Achar and Henderson conjectured in [AH] that $\mathcal{H}^jK = 0$ unless $j \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and that

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{i\ge 0}(\dim \mathcal{H}^{4i}_z K)t^{2i} = t^{-a(\boldsymbol\lambda)}\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol\lambda,\boldsymbol\mu}(t)$$

for $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol\mu} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol\lambda}$, where $\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol\lambda,\boldsymbol\mu}(t)$ is a modified Kostka polynomial associated to double partitions $\boldsymbol\lambda, \boldsymbol\mu$, introduced by the first author in [S2], and $a(\boldsymbol\lambda)$ is a certain integer (see 5.1 for the notation). The main objective in this paper is the proof of their conjecture, based on the theory of character sheaves. Note that the conjecture (in the case where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}$) was recently proved by Kato [Ka3], [Ka4] by a totally different method.

Our strategy for the proof is as follows; in [SS], we have constructed a complex $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, where T is the θ-stable maximal torus of G consisting of diagonal matrices, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$. The construction in [SS] makes sense if we replace T by an F-stable, θ-stable maximal torus contained in a θ-stable (not necessarily F-stable) Borel subgroup of G. By changing the notation, let $(T, \mathcal{E})$ be

---

*partly supported by JSPS Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (A) 20244001.

†supported by ANR JCJC REPRED, ANR-09-JCJC-0102-01.

such a pair. Assume given an isomorphism $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$. Then one can show that there exists a canonical isomorphism $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. We define an H^F-invariant function $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{X}^F$ as the characteristic function $\chi_{K_{T,\mathcal{E}},\varphi}$. Then we define a Green function $Q_T : \mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}} \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ as the restriction of $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$, which is shown to be independent of the choice of $\mathcal{E}$. The Green functions have a similar role as in the theory of character sheaves by Lusztig [L3]. We prove a character formula for $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$, which describes the values of $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ in terms of various Green functions associated to the centralizer of semisimple elements $s \in G^{\iota\theta}$ in G. By using the character formula, we prove the orthogonality relations for $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$, and also for Green functions Q_T. By the Springer correspondence established in [SS], the orthogonality relations for Green functions imply the orthogonality relations for the characteristic functions of the intersection cohomologies $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. This fits to the characterization of modified Kostka polynomials ([S2]) in terms of certain orthogonality relations, and (*) follows from this, combined with a certain purity result.

In the last section, we prove that the characteristic functions of F-stable character sheaves give a basis of the space of H^F-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}^F$, which supports the conjecture in Introduction in [SS] that our character sheaves coincide with those proposed in [HT].

Contents

1. Green functions
2. Character formulas
3. Orthogonality relations
4. A purity result
5. Kostka polynomials
6. H^F-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}^F$

1. GREEN FUNCTIONS

1.1. Let $G = GL(V)$, $H = G^\theta \simeq Sp_{2n}$ be as in Introduction. Then G, V have natural $\mathbf{F}_q$-structures, and denote by F the corresponding Frobenius maps. The map θ commutes with F. The θ-stable pair (B, T) in [SS, 1.2] is an F-stable pair, which we denote in this paper by (B_0, T_0) to distinguish their $\mathbf{F}_q$-structures. $N_G(T_0)/T_0$ is a Weyl group of G which is isomorphic to S_{2n}. Let $W_n = N_H(T_0^\theta)/T_0^\theta$. Then W_n is a Weyl group of type C_n. The image of $N_H(T_0) \subset N_G(T_0)$ under the map $N_G(T_0) \to N_G(T_0)/T_0$ is isomorphic to $N_H(T_0)/T_0^\theta \simeq N_H(T_0^\theta)/T_0^\theta$, and the inclusion map $N_H(T_0) \to N_G(T_0)$ induces an embedding $W_n \hookrightarrow S_{2n}$. F acts trivially on W_n and on S_{2n}. Let $\mathcal{W} = N_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})/Z_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})$, which is isomorphic to S_n. Since $W_n \simeq S_n \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$, $w \in W_n$ can be written as $w = \sigma\tau$ with $\sigma \in S_n$, $\tau \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$. We write $\tau \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ as $\tau = (\tau(1), \dots, \tau(n))$ with $\tau(i) = \pm 1$. Let $\{e_i, f_i\}$ be a symplectic basis of V as in [SS], consisting of eigenvectors for T_0.

The G^F-conjugacy classes of F-stable maximal tori in G are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of S_{2n}. For each $w \in S_{2n}$ and its representative $\dot{w} \in N_G(T_0)$, choose $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}F(g) = \dot{w}$. Then $T_w = gT_0g^{-1}$ gives a representative of the G^F-conjugacy class of F-stable maximal tori corresponding to the conjugacy class in S_{2n} containing w. If T is an F-stable, θ-stable maximal torus contained in an θ-stable Borel subgroup of G, then T is H-conjugate to T_0, and T can be written as $T = T_w$ with $w \in W_n$. In this case, $T^{\iota\theta}$ is H-conjugate to $T_0^{\iota\theta}$, and the H^F-conjugacy classes of F-stable, θ-anisotropic maximal tori in G are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of $N_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})/Z_H(T_0^{\iota\theta}) \simeq S_n$. For $w = \sigma\tau, w' = \sigma'\tau' \in W_n$, $T_w^{\iota\theta}$ is H^F-conjugate to $T_{w'}^{\iota\theta}$ if and only if σ, σ' are conjugate in S_n. Note that $T_w^{\iota\theta}$ is isomorphic to an F-stable maximal torus S_σ in GL_n (defined for $\sigma \in S_n$ similar to T_w), and the correspondence $T_w^{\iota\theta} \mapsto S_\sigma$ is compatible with the parametrization of GL_n^F-conjugacy classes of F-stable maximal tori in GL_n.

1.2. In the following we denote the objects M_n, M_I, etc. in [SS, 3.2] by $M_{n,0}, M_{I,0}$, etc. by attaching 0. For a given $w \in W_n = N_H(T_0^\theta)/T_0^\theta$, take a representative $\dot w \in N_H(T_0^\theta)$. We choose an element $h \in H$ such that $h^{-1}F(h) = \dot w$. Put $T = hT_0h^{-1}, B = hB_0h^{-1}, M_n = h(M_{n,0})$. Thus M_n is a maximal isotropic subspace of V stable by B. Let I be a subset of $[1, n]$ such that $|I| = m$. We put $M_I = h(M_{I,0})$, and consider the maps $\psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{Y}$, $\psi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I \to \mathcal{Y}_m^0$ as in [SS, 3.2], defined in terms of T, B, M_n, M_I instead of $T_0, B_0, M_{n,0}, M_{I,0}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$. As in [SS, 3.5], we consider the complex $(\psi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E}$. We define a variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet = \{(x, v, gT^\theta) \in G_{\mathrm{reg}}^{\iota\theta} \times V \times H/T^\theta \mid g^{-1}xg \in T_{\mathrm{reg}}^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_n\},$$

and define a subvariety $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet$ similarly, but by replacing M_n by M_I in the above formula. We define maps $\psi^\bullet : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet \to \mathcal{Y}, \psi_I^\bullet : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet \to \mathcal{Y}_m^0$ by $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto (x, v)$. Note that $Z_H(T^{\iota\theta}) \simeq \prod_{1 \le i \le n} SL_2$. We denote by U_2 the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of SL_2. Then $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto (x, v, g(B^\theta \cap Z_H(T^{\iota\theta}))$ gives a map $\widetilde{\gamma}_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I$, which gives rise to a vector bundle over $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I$ with fibre isomorphic to U_2^n. Let $\alpha_0^\bullet : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet \to T^{\iota\theta}$ be the map defined by $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto g^{-1}xg$, and define $\alpha_I^\bullet : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet \to T^{\iota\theta}$ by its restriction on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet \arrow[l, "\alpha_I^\bullet"'] \arrow[r, "\psi_I^\bullet"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\gamma}_I"] & \mathcal{Y}_m^0 \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
T^{\iota\theta} & \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I \arrow[l, "\alpha_I"'] \arrow[r, "\psi_I"] & \mathcal{Y}_m^0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from this that we have a canonical isomorphism $(\psi_I^\bullet)_!(\alpha_I^\bullet)^*\mathcal{E}[-2n] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\psi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E}$ for each I since $\dim U_2^n = n$, and we have

$$\psi_!^\bullet(\alpha_0^\bullet)^*\mathcal{E}[-2n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}. \tag{1.2.1}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{F(I)}^\bullet$ be a similar variety as $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet$, defined in terms of $T, F(M_I)$ instead of T, M_I. The map $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto (F(x), F(v), F(g)T^\theta)$ gives a map $F : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I^\bullet \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{F(I)}^\bullet$. (Here we use the notation $F(I)$ just as a symbol, and it does not mean a subset of $[1, n]$. In fact, $F(M_I) = h(\dot w(M_{I,0}))$ and $F(M_I) \not\subset M_n$ in general.) Now w is written as $w = \sigma\tau \in W_n$ with $\sigma \in S_n, \tau \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$. We define $\tau_I \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ for each I by the condition

$$\tau_I(i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \in I \text{ and } \tau(\sigma^{-1}(i)) = -1 \ , \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\dot\tau_I \in N_H(T_0^\theta)$ be a representative of τ_I which is a permutation of the basis $\{e_i, f_i\}$ of V. Then we have $\dot\tau_I\dot w(M_{I,0}) = M_{\sigma(I),0}$, where $\sigma(I)$ is a subset of $[1,n]$. Put $h_I = h\dot\tau_I h^{-1} \in N_H(T^\theta)$. Then $h_I \in Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$. We have $h_IF(M_I) = M_{\sigma(I)}$. Hence one can define a map $b_I : \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_{F(I)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_{\sigma(I)}$ by $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto (x, v, gh_I^{-1}T^\theta)$, and we obtain a map $b_IF : \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_I \to \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_{\sigma(I)}$.

Note that $\mathcal Y_m$ coincides with the original variety $\bigcup_{g\in H} g((T_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{m,0})$, and $\mathcal Y^0_m = \mathcal Y_m \backslash \mathcal Y_{m-1}$. Hence $\mathcal Y_m, \mathcal Y^0_m$ are F-stable. Let us consider the variety $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m = (\psi^\bullet)^{-1}(\mathcal Y^0_m)$. Then as in the case of $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^+_m$ (see [SS, 3.4]), we have $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m = \coprod_I \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_I$, where I runs over all the subsets of $[1,n]$ such that $|I| = m$, and the set $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^\bullet_I$ forms connected components of $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m$. It follows from the above discussion, that one can define a map $F' = \coprod_I b_IF$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m$. We have a commutative diagram (note that $h_I \in Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$), where $\psi^\bullet_m$ is the restriction of $\psi^\bullet$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m$.

(1.2.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd} T^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "F"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m \arrow[l, "\alpha_0^\bullet"'] \arrow[r, "\psi_m^\bullet"] \arrow[d, "F'"] & \mathcal Y^0_m \arrow[d, "F"] \\ T^{\iota\theta} & \widetilde{\mathcal Y}^{+,\bullet}_m \arrow[l, "\alpha_0^\bullet"'] \arrow[r, "\psi_m^\bullet"] & \mathcal Y^0_m . \end{tikzcd}$$

Assume that $\mathcal E$ is such that $F^*\mathcal E \simeq \mathcal E$, and fix an isomorphism $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal E$. Put $K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal E} = (\psi^\bullet_m)_!(\alpha^\bullet_0)^*\mathcal E$ and $K_{m,T,\mathcal E} = (\psi_m)_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal E$. Then by (1.2.2), φ_0 induces an isomorphism $F^*(K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal E}$. A similar formula as (1.2.1) holds by replacing $\psi^\bullet, \psi$ by $\psi^\bullet_m, \psi_m$, and it induces an isomorphism $\varphi'_m : F^*(K_{m,T,\mathcal E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{m,T,\mathcal E}$.

1.3. By (3.5.2) (and the discussion in the proof of Proposition 3.6) in [SS], $(\psi_m)_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal E$ is expressed as

$$(\psi_m)_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal E \simeq \bigoplus_{0\le i\le n-m} \mathcal L_{m,i}[-2i],$$

where $\mathcal L_m = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal A^\wedge_{\mathbf m,\mathcal E}} \widetilde V_\rho \otimes \mathcal L_\rho$ with a simple local system $\mathcal L_\rho$ on $\mathcal Y^0_m$, and $\mathcal L_{m,i}$ is a direct sum of $\sharp\{J \subset [1, n-m] \mid |J| = i\}$ copies of $\mathcal L_m$. It follows that φ'_m induces an isomorphism $F^*\mathcal L_{m,i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal L_{m,i}$ for each i, and in particular, an isomorphism $\varphi''_m : F^*\mathcal L_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal L_m$ by taking $i = n-m$.

Let $\mathcal X_m$ be the variety defined in [SS, 3.1], which is the closure of $\mathcal Y_m$ in $\mathcal X = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$. We consider a semisimple perverse sheaf $K_{T,\mathcal E}$ on $\mathcal X$ defined as in (4.1.1) in [SS],

$$K_{T,\mathcal E} = \bigoplus_{0\le m\le n} \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal A^\wedge_{\mathbf m,\mathcal E}} \widetilde V_\rho \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal X_m, \mathcal L_\rho)[d_m], \tag{1.3.1}$$

where $d_m = \dim \mathcal{X}_m$. Thus $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ can be written as

$$K_{T,\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{0 \le m \le n} \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_m)[d_m],$$

with a semisimple local system $\mathcal{L}_m$ on $\mathcal{Y}_m^0$. Here φ_m'' is extended to a unique isomorphism $\varphi_m : F^* \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_m)[d_m] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_m)[d_m]$. We now define an isomorphism $\varphi : F^* K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ by $\varphi = \bigoplus_{0 \le m \le n} \varphi_m$.

1.4. Following [SS, 4.1], we consider the diagram

$$T^{\iota\theta} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{X},$$

where $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \{(x, v, gB^{\theta}) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times V \times H/B^{\theta} \mid g^{-1}xg \in B^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_n\}, \tag{1.4.1}$$

with $\pi : (x, v, gB^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v)$, $\alpha : (x, v, gB^{\theta}) \mapsto p(g^{-1}xg)$, ($p : B^{\iota\theta} \to T^{\iota\theta}$ is the natural projection). We also consider

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}} = \{(x, v, gB^{\theta}) \in G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V \times H/B^{\theta} \mid g^{-1}xg \in B^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_n\} \tag{1.4.2}$$

and a map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} = G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V$ by $(x, v, gB^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v)$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ are the same objects as those given in [SS, 3.1, 2.4], defined by replacing $B_0, M_{n,0}$ by B, M_n. Let $\widetilde{K}_{B,\mathcal{E}} = \pi_* \alpha^* \mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}]$. Note that $\widetilde{K}_{B,\mathcal{E}}, K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ are respectively isomorphic to the corresponding objects given in [SS]. Hence by [SS, Theorem 4.2] we have $\widetilde{K}_{B,\mathcal{E}} \simeq K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. For each $0 \le m \le n$, let $\mathcal{X}_m = \bigcup_{g \in H} g(B^{\iota\theta} \times M_m)$ and put $\mathcal{X}_m^0 = \mathcal{X}_m \backslash \mathcal{X}_{m-1}$. Thus $\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_m^0$ are the same objects as those defined in [SS, 4.3]. Put $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+ = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_m^0)$. The decomposition $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+ = \coprod_I \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$ in [SS, Lemma 4.4] is applicable to our setup, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$ are defined in terms of B, M_I. More generally, we consider a θ-stable Borel subgroup B' containing T and ${B'}^{\theta}$-stable maximal isotropic subspace M_n' with respect to a symplectic basis $\{e_i', f_i'\}$ consisting of eigenvectors for T. Then the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$ in [SS, 4.3] makes sense in this situation, and we denote the corresponding object by $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B'}$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B'} = \{(x, v, g{B'}^{\theta}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+ \mid (x, v, g{B'}^{\theta}) \mapsto I\},$$

where we understand that $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+$ is defined in terms of B', M_n'. We fix $I \subset [1, n]$, and consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B}$. The Frobenius action $(x, v, gB^{\theta}) \mapsto (F(x), F(v), F(g)FB^{\theta})$ gives a map $F : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{F(I),FB}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{F(I),FB}$ denotes the variety defined by the symplectic basis $\{F(he_i), F(hf_i)\}$ in V. Let $h_I \in N_H(T^{\theta}) \cap Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$ be as in 1.2. One can define an isomorphism $b_I' : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{F(I),FB} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\sigma(I),B'}$ by $(x, v, gFB^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v, gh_I^{-1}{B'}^{\theta})$, where $B' = h_I(FB)h_I^{-1}$. Thus we have a map $b_I'F : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\sigma(I),B'}$.

We define maps $\pi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \to \mathcal{X}^0_m, \widetilde{\alpha}_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \to T^{\iota\theta}$ similar to the case $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Since $h_I \in Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "F"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \arrow[l, "\widetilde{\alpha}_I"'] \arrow[r, "\pi_I"] \arrow[d, "b'_IF"'] & \mathcal{X}^0_m \arrow[d, "F"] \\
T^{\iota\theta} & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\sigma(I),B'} \arrow[l, "\widetilde{\alpha}'_{\sigma(I)}"'] \arrow[r, "\pi'_{\sigma(I)}"] & \mathcal{X}^0_m,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\pi'_{\sigma(I)}, \widetilde{\alpha}'_{\sigma(I)}$ are defined similar to $\pi_I, \widetilde{\alpha}_I$, but by using B' instead of B. We consider the complex $(\pi_I)_*(\widetilde{\alpha}_I)^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X}^0_m$, which we denote by $\widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}$. Similarly we define $\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} = (\pi'_{\sigma(I)})_*(\widetilde{\alpha}'_{\sigma(I)})^*\mathcal{E}$. By the above commutative diagram, $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ induces an isomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}_I : F^*\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}$. On the other hand, we consider the complex $(\psi^\bullet_I)_*(\alpha^\bullet_I)^*\mathcal{E}[-2n]$ on $\mathcal{Y}^0_m$, which is decomposed as in (3.4.3), (3.5.1) in [SS]. Thus there exists a unique DGM-extension to $\mathcal{X}^0_m$, which we denote by $K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}$. The discussion in 1.3 shows that b_IF gives a map $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_I \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{\sigma(I)}$, and φ_0 induces an isomorphism $\varphi_I : F^*K^\bullet_{\sigma(I),T,\mathcal{E}} \to K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}$. The proof of Theorem 4.2 in [SS], together with (1.2.1), shows that there exists an isomorphism $K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}[-2n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}$ which we denote by g_B. The map $g_{B'}$ is defined similarly. Then the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^*K^\bullet_{\sigma(I),T,\mathcal{E}}[-2n] \arrow[r, "\varphi_I"] \arrow[d, "F^*(g_{B'})"'] & K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}[-2n] \arrow[d, "g_B"] \\
F^*\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\varphi}_I"] & \widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.4.1}$$

1.5. Let $\mathcal{D}X$ be the derived category of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-constructible sheaves on a variety X over $\mathbf{k}$. Assume that X is defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ with Frobenius map $F : X \to X$. Recall that for a given complex $K \in \mathcal{D}X$ with an isomorphism $\phi : F^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} K$, the characteristic function $\chi_{K,\phi} : X^F \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is defined as

$$\chi_{K,\phi}(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(\phi^*, \mathcal{H}^i_xK) \qquad (x \in X^F),$$

where ϕ^* is the induced isomorphism on $\mathcal{H}^i_xK$.

Returning to our original setup, we consider a tame local system $\mathcal{E}$ on $T^{\iota\theta}$ such that $F^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}$. Since the isomorphism $F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ is unique up to scalar, we fix $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ by the condition that the induced map of φ_0 on the stalk $\mathcal{E}_e$ at the unit element $e \in (T^{\iota\theta})^F$ is identity. We consider the characteristic function $\chi_{K_{T,\mathcal{E}},\varphi}$ induced from this φ_0, and denote it by $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. Since $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ is an H-equivariant perverse sheaf, $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ is an H^F-invariant function on $\mathcal{X}^F = (G^{\iota\theta} \times V)^F$. We define a function $Q_T = Q^G_T$ as the restriction of $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}} = (G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V)^F$, and call it a Green function on $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$. This definition makes sense since the following proposition holds.

Proposition 1.6. *The restriction of* $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ *on* $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ *is independent of the choice of* $\mathcal{E}$. *Hence it coincides with the restriction of* $\chi_{T,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l}$ *on it.*

Proof. The corresponding fact in the case of reductive groups was first proved by Lusztig in [L3, (8.3.2)]. However its proof contained a gap. The complete proof was later given in [L5] in a more general setup for disconnected reductive groups. (See also [Le] for the Lie algebra analogue.) In the discussion below, we modify the argument in [L5]. Let $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ be the map as in 1.4, and $\pi_{1,I,B}$ the restriction of π_1 on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,B} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. Since there is a canonical isomorphism $\widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \simeq (\pi_{1,B,I})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ for any $\mathcal{E}$, the diagram (1.4.1) is extended to the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^{\bullet}_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}[-2n]|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \arrow[r, "g_B"] & \widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (\pi_{1,I,B})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \\
F^*K^{\bullet}_{\sigma(I),T,\mathcal{E}}[-2n]|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \arrow[u, "\varphi_I"] \arrow[r, "F^*(g_{B'})"] & F^*\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\varphi}_I"'] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & F^*(\pi_{1,\sigma(I),B'})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l. \arrow[u, "\Psi_I"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The construction of the map $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ in 1.2, 1.3 shows that φ is determined completely from φ_I for each I. Hence in order to prove the proposition, it is enough to show that

(1.6.1) The map Ψ_I is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{E}$.

We show (1.6.1). In the discussion below, the objects $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$, etc. are referred to T, B unless otherwise stated. Weyl groups appearing here such as $S_{2n}, W_n, \mathcal{W} \simeq S_n$ are considered with respect to T. Let $\widetilde{\omega} : G \to T/S_{2n}$ be the Steinberg map with respect to G. We embed $S_n \simeq \mathcal{W}$ in S_{2n} as in 1.1. Then we have $T^{\iota\theta}/S_n \hookrightarrow T/S_{2n}$, and $\widetilde{\omega}$ induces the Steinberg map $\omega : G^{\iota\theta} \to T^{\iota\theta}/S_n$. We have a commutative diagram

(1.6.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & T^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "\omega"] \\
\mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "\omega_1"] & T^{\iota\theta}/S_n,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ω_1 is the composite of the projection $\mathcal{X} \to G^{\iota\theta}$ with ω. Replacing $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{X}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{Y}$, we have a similar diagram

(1.6.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \arrow[r, "\alpha_0"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \arrow[d, "\omega"] \\
\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "\omega_1"] & T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}/S_n.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y} \times_R T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the fibre product of $\mathcal{Y}$ and $T^{\iota\theta}$ over $R = T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}/S_n$, and $\varDelta : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{Z}$ be the natural map. Then ψ is decomposed as $\psi = p \circ \varDelta$, where p is the projection $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y} = \coprod_{0 \le m \le n} \mathcal{Y}^0_m$, we have $\mathcal{Z} = \coprod_{0 \le m \le n} \mathcal{Z}^0_m$, where $\mathcal{Z}^0_m = \mathcal{Y}^0_m \times_R T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} = \coprod_{0 \le m \le n} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m$. Then we have a similar commutative diagram as (1.6.3), by replacing $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{Y}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m, \mathcal{Y}^0_m$, and $\psi_m : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ is decomposed as $\psi_m = p_m \circ \varDelta_m$, where $\varDelta_m : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m \to \mathcal{Z}^0_m$ is the natural map and $p_m : \mathcal{Z}^0_m \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ is the projection. Now $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m$ is decomposed as $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m = \coprod_I \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I$, and we have a map $\xi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I \to \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I$ (see [SS, 3.2]). Then we have a commutative diagram

(1.6.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I \arrow[rr, "\beta_I"] \arrow[dd, "\eta_I"'] \arrow[dr, "\widehat{\varDelta}_I"] & & T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \arrow[dd, "\omega"] \\
& \mathcal{Z}^0_m \arrow[ur, "q_m"] \arrow[dl, "p_m"'] & \\
\mathcal{Y}^0_m \arrow[rr, "\omega_1"] & & T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}/S_n,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widehat{\varDelta}_I : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I \to \mathcal{Z}^0_m$ is the natural map and q_m is the projection. Note that p_m (resp. η_I) is a finite Galois covering with group S_n (resp. S_I). Since η_I is proper, $\widehat{\varDelta}_I$ is also proper. Since $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I = \dim \mathcal{Y}^0_m = \dim \mathcal{Z}^0_m$, $\widehat{\varDelta}_I(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I) = \varDelta_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I)$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{Z}^0_m$. Since $\widehat{\varDelta}_I(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I)$ are mutually disjoint, $\mathcal{Z}^0_m = \coprod_I \varDelta_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I)$ gives a decomposition of $\mathcal{Z}^0_m$ into irreducible components. The map $\widehat{\varDelta}_I$ is S_I-equivariant, and the restriction of p_m on $\widehat{\varDelta}_I(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I)$ gives a finite Galois covering with group S_I. It follows that $\widehat{\varDelta}_I$ gives an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I \simeq \widehat{\varDelta}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I)$.

We consider the complex $(\varDelta_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^0_m$. Since $(\xi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{I'}) \otimes \beta_I^*\mathcal{E}$ (where I' is the complement of I in $[1, n]$, cf. 3.4, 3.5 in [SS]), we see that

$$(\varDelta_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{I'}) \otimes q_m^*\mathcal{E}|_{\varDelta_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I)}. \tag{1.6.5}$$

It follows that

$$(\varDelta_m)_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-m}) \otimes q_m^*\mathcal{E}. \tag{1.6.6}$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}_m = \mathcal{Y}_m \times_R T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is closed in $\mathcal{Z}$, and $\mathcal{Z}^0_m$ is an open dense smooth subset of $\mathcal{Z}_m$. We consider the intersection cohomology $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_m, q_m^*\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{Z}_m$. By using a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 in [SS], (1.6.6) implies that

$$\varDelta_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{Z}] \simeq \bigoplus_{0 \le m \le n} \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Z}_m, q_m^*\mathcal{E})[\dim \mathcal{Z}_m]. \tag{1.6.7}$$

We now consider the fibre product $\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{X} \times_{R'} T^{\iota\theta}$, where $R' = T^{\iota\theta}/S_n$. Since $\mathcal{X} = \coprod_m \mathcal{X}^0_m$, we have $\mathcal{Z}' = \coprod_m (\mathcal{X}^0_m \times_{R'} T^{\iota\theta})$. Then $\mathcal{Z}'_m = \mathcal{X}^0_m \times_{R'} T^{\iota\theta}$ contains $\mathcal{Z}_m$

as an open dense subset. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\pi_m"'] & T^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "\omega"] \\
\mathcal{X}_m^0 \arrow[r] & T^{\iota\theta}/S_n,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_m is the restriction of π on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+$. Let $\varDelta'_m : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+ \to \mathcal{Z}'_m$ be the natural map, and $p'_m : \mathcal{Z}'_m \to \mathcal{X}_m^0$ be the first projection so that $\pi_m = p'_m \circ \varDelta'_m$. We show that

$$(\varDelta'_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-m}) \otimes \operatorname{IC}(\mathcal{Z}'_m, q_m^*\mathcal{E}). \tag{1.6.8}$$

Put $K = (\varDelta'_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+}$. Since $\varDelta'_m$ is proper, by the decomposition theorem K is a direct sum of complexes of the form $A[i]$ for a simple perverse sheaf A on $\mathcal{Z}'_m$ with some shift i. Since $K|_{\mathcal{Z}_m^0} \simeq (\varDelta_m)_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m^+}$, $K|_{\mathcal{Z}_m^0}$ is decomposed as in (1.6.6). Hence in order to prove (1.6.8), it is enough to show that $\operatorname{supp} A \cap \mathcal{Z}_m^0 \neq \emptyset$ for any direct summand $A[i]$ of K. Here $(\pi_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+} \simeq (p'_m)_*K$, and $(p'_m)_*K$ is written as a direct sum of $(p'_m)_*A[i]$. Since ω is a finite morphism, p'_m is also finite. Again by the decomposition theorem, $(p'_m)_*A[i]$ is a direct sum of the form $B[j]$ for a simple perverse sheaf B on $\mathcal{X}_m^0$. Since p'_m is finite, if $\dim \operatorname{supp} A < \dim \mathcal{Z}'_m$, we must have $\dim \operatorname{supp} B < \dim \mathcal{X}_m^0 = \dim \mathcal{Z}'_m$ for any B appearing in $(p'_m)_*A[i]$. But Proposition 4.8 in [SS] implies that any simple perverse sheaf (up to shift) appearing in the decomposition of $(\pi_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+}$ has its support $\mathcal{X}_m^0$. It follows that $\dim \operatorname{supp} A = \dim \mathcal{Z}'_m$ for any A, and (1.6.8) holds.

Let $\varDelta'_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I \to \mathcal{Z}'_m$ be the restriction of $\varDelta'_m$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$. Then $\varDelta'_I$ is proper, and $\mathcal{Z}'_m = \bigcup_I \varDelta'_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I)$ gives a decomposition into irreducible components. By comparing (1.6.5) and (1.6.8), we have

$$(\varDelta'_I)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{I'}) \otimes \operatorname{IC}(\varDelta'_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I), q_m^*\mathcal{E}|_{\varDelta_I(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I)}). \tag{1.6.9}$$

Put $K_{I,\mathcal{E}}^\sharp = (\varDelta'_I)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I}$. Let $q'_m : \mathcal{Z}'_m \to T^{\iota\theta}$ be the second projection. Here we note the isomorphism

$$K_{I,\mathcal{E}}^\sharp \simeq (q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \otimes K_{I,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l}^\sharp. \tag{1.6.10}$$

In fact by the projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
(q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \otimes (\varDelta'_I)_*\alpha^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l &\simeq (\varDelta'_I)_*((\varDelta'_I)^*(q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \alpha^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \\
&\simeq (\varDelta'_I)_*(\alpha^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \alpha^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq (\varDelta'_I)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^*\mathcal{E}, \alpha^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ are regarded as local systems on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$. Hence (1.6.10) holds.

The construction of $K_{I,\mathcal{E}}^\sharp$ makes sense for any θ-stable Borel subgroup B' containing T. We denote this complex by $K_{I,B',\mathcal{E}}^\sharp$ to denote the dependence for B'. Since $\pi_I = p'_m \circ \varDelta'_I$, we have $\widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}} \simeq (p'_m)_*K_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}^\sharp$, and similarly, $\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} \simeq$

$(p'_m)_*K^{\sharp}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}}$. In a similar way as the construction of $\widetilde{\varphi}_I : F^*\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \widetilde{K}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}$, one can define an isomorphism $\Phi_I : F^*K^{\sharp}_{\sigma(I),B',\mathcal{E}} \overset{\sim}{\to} K^{\sharp}_{I,B,\mathcal{E}}$ such that $(p'_m)_*(\Phi_I) = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$ by using (1.6.5) and (1.6.9). By (1.6.10), Φ_I can be expressed as

$$\Phi_I = \Phi_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \Phi_0 : F^*(q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \otimes F^*K^{\sharp}_{\sigma(I),B',\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l} \overset{\sim}{\to} (q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \otimes K^{\sharp}_{I,B,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l},$$

where $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : F^*(q'_m)^*\mathcal{E} \overset{\sim}{\to} (q'_m)^*\mathcal{E}$ and $\Phi_0 : F^*K^{\sharp}_{\sigma(I),B',\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l} \overset{\sim}{\to} K^{\sharp}_{I,B,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l}$ are the natural maps induced from $F^*\mathcal{E} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{E}$ and $F^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \overset{\sim}{\to} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. In particular, Φ_0 is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{E}$. In order to prove (1.6.1), it is enough to show that $\Phi_I|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}}$ is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{E}$. (Here $\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times_{R'} T^{\iota\theta}$, and $\Phi|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}}$ denotes, for a map Φ on complexes on $\mathcal{Z}'_m$, the map on the complexes restricted on $\mathcal{Z}'_m \cap \mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}$ induced from Φ.) We have $\Phi_I|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}} \simeq \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}} \otimes \Phi_0|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}}$ and $\Phi_0|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$. Here $\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times_{R'} \{e\} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, where e is the unit element in $T^{\iota\theta}$. By definition, $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{E}$ gives an identity map on the stalk $\mathcal{E}_e \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. It follows that the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}}$ gives an identity map on $\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{uni}}$, hence is independent of $\mathcal{E}$. This proves (1.6.1) and so the proposition follows. □

1.7. Take $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}} \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$. Under the embedding $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \simeq W_n$, we regard w as an element of $W_n = N_H(T_0^{\theta})/T_0^{\theta} = N_H(T_0)/T_0^{\theta}$, and let $T = T_w = hT_0h^{-1}$ for $h \in H$ such that $h^{-1}F(h) = \dot{w} \in N_H(T_0)$. We consider the complex $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ and the map $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \overset{\sim}{\to} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be the tame local system on $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ defined by $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathrm{ad}\, h)^*\mathcal{E}$. For later use, we shall describe the map φ by making use of $K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$. We follow the notation in 1.2. We write the varieties $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_I$, etc. in 1.2, as $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_T, \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T}$, etc. to indicate their dependence on T. We consider the variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T_0}$, which is defined by using T_0 and $M_{I,0}$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T_0}$ has a natural Frobenius action $F : (x, v, gT_0^{\theta}) \mapsto (F(g), F(v), F(g)T_0^{\theta})$. The map $(x, v, gT^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v, ghT_0^{\theta})$ gives an isomorphism $\delta_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T_0}$ commuting with the projections to $\mathcal{Y}^0_m$. We define a map $a_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T_0} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{\sigma(I),T_0}$ by $(x, v, gT_0^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v, g(\dot{\tau}_I\dot{w})^{-1}T_0^{\theta})$. Note that a_I is well-defined since $\dot{\tau}_I\dot{w}(M_{I,0}) = M_{\sigma(I),0}$. Then we have a commutative diagram

(1.7.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T} \arrow[r, "\delta_I"] \arrow[d, "b_IF"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{I,T_0} \arrow[d, "a_IF"] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{\sigma(I),T} \arrow[r, "\delta_{\sigma(I)}"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}_{\sigma(I),T_0}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall the complex $K^{\bullet}_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}$ in 1.4, and define $K^{\bullet}_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ similarly. Since $\delta_I^*K^{\bullet}_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ is canonically isomorphic to $K^{\bullet}_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}$, the isomorphism δ_I induces an isomorphism δ'_I :

$K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$. By (1.7.1), we have a commutative diagram

(1.7.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^*K^\bullet_{\sigma(I),T,\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "F^*\delta'_{\sigma(I)}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_I"'] & F^*K^\bullet_{\sigma(I),T_0,\mathcal{E}_0} \arrow[d, "\varphi'_I\circ F^*(\theta_I)"] \\
K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "\delta'_I"] & K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\varphi'_I : F^*K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0} \to K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ is the natural map induced from the Frobenius map on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{I,T_0}$, and $\theta_I : K^\bullet_{\sigma(I),T_0,\mathcal{E}_0} \to K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ is the canonical isomorphism induced from a_I. Since $K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_I K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}}$ and similarly for $K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$, we have an isomorphism $\delta'_m : K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ induced from various δ'_I. We have a commutative diagram as in (1.7.2) with respect to $F^*K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}, F^*K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}, K^\bullet_{m,T\mathcal{E}}, K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$. Then the map $\varphi_m = \sum_I \varphi_I : F^*K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\bullet_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ is transferred to the map $\varphi'_m \circ F^*(\theta_{w^{-1}}) : F^*K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$, through δ'_m and $F^*\delta'_m$, where φ'_m is the isomorphism induced from the Frobenius map on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_{m,T_0}$, and $\theta_{w^{-1}} = \sum_I \theta_I$.

Recall that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}_I} = \operatorname{End}((\eta_I)_*\mathcal{E}_I)$ and its extended algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$ in [SS, 3.5] for $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}_I} \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I}]$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I} \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}_I}]$. In [SS, 3.5], we have defined an algebra homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I} \to \operatorname{End}((\psi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E}_0)$. Since $(\psi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E} \simeq K^\bullet_{I,T,\mathcal{E}_0}$ up to shift, we have an algebra homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I} \to \operatorname{End}(K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0})$. This action is described as follows; for each $w = \sigma\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_I \simeq S_I \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ ($\sigma \in S_I, \tau \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$), put $w' = \sigma\tau'$, where τ' is the projection of τ on $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{I'}$. Then the map $a_w : (x, v, gT_0^\theta) \mapsto (x, v, gw'T_0^\theta)$ gives an isomorphism on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_I$, and $w \mapsto a_w$ gives a homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_I \to \operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_I)$. If $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$, a_w induces an isomorphism on $K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}}$, and this gives the representation $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I} \to \operatorname{End}(K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}})$. The representation $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \operatorname{End}(K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}})$ is obtained by inducing up the representation of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$ on $K^\bullet_{I,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ for $I = [1, m]$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}}$. For each $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ we denote by $\theta_w \in \operatorname{Aut}(K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0})$ the image of w under this map. Then it is clear that $\theta_{w^{-1}}$ in this context is exactly the same as $\theta_{w^{-1}} = \sum_I \theta_I$ defined before.

The isomorphism δ'_m induces an isomorphism $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$. By a similar argument as in 1.3, one can define an isomorphism $\delta' : K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ extending δ'_m. Let φ_T be the isomorphism $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$, and φ_{T_0} the corresponding isomorphism for $K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$. The automorphism θ_w on $K^\bullet_{m,T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ induces a unique automorphism on $K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}$ which we denote by the same symbol. Again by a similar argument as in 1.3, we have the following commutative diagram (note that $T = T_w$).

(1.7.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "F^*\delta'"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_T"'] & F^*K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{T_0}\circ F^*(\theta_{w^{-1}})"] \\
K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "\delta'"] & K_{T_0,\mathcal{E}_0}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 1.8. Under the setup in Section 1 of [SS], we consider the complex $\pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}[\dim G^{\iota\theta}]$ on $G^{\iota\theta}$ associated to a tame local system $\mathcal{E}$ on $T^{\iota\theta}$, which is isomorphic to the complex $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ by Theorem 1.16 in [SS]. We denote this $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ as $K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ to distinguish $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $G^{\iota\theta}\times V$. By definition, we have

$$K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}[2n] \simeq K_{T,\mathcal{E}}|_{G^{\iota\theta}\times\{0\}}. \tag{1.8.1}$$

As in the case of $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$, one can define $K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ for an F-stable maximal torus T containing θ-stable maximal anisotropic torus, and an F-stable tame local system $\mathcal{E}$ on $T^{\iota\theta}$. Then for each $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$, one can construct an isomorphism $\varphi : F^*K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$, and we define a function $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $(G^{\iota\theta})^F$. Clearly, φ is the restriction of the corresponding map for $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ under (1.8.1), hence $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ coincides with $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}|_{(G^{\iota\theta}\times\{0\})^F}$. In particular, the statement in Proposition 1.6 holds also for $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. We define a Green function Q^{sym}_T as the restriction of $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})^F$, which is an H^F-invariant function on $(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})^F$.

2. Character formulas

2.1. We follow the notation in 1.2. Let $\overline{\psi}_m : \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m) \to \mathcal{Y}_m$ be the restriction of $\psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{Y}$ on $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$. $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{Y}_m$ and let $j' : \mathcal{Y}^0_m = \mathcal{Y}_m\backslash\mathcal{Y}_{m-1} \to \mathcal{Y}_m$ be the inclusion map. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$) be the restriction of $\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$ (resp. on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m$). Then $(j'_!(\psi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m, (\overline{\psi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, (\overline{\psi}_{m-1})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1})$ is a canonical distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{Y}_m$. By [SS, (3.6.1), (3.6.3)], $(\overline{\psi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m$, $(\overline{\psi}_{m-1})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1}$ are semisimple complexes, whose simple components are constructible sheaves with even degree shifts. It follows that ${}^pH^i((\overline{\psi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m) = 0$ for odd i, where ${}^pH^iK$ is the i-th perverse cohomology of $K \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{Y}_m$. The discussion in the proof of Proposition 3.6 in [SS] shows that the map $R^{2i}(\overline{\psi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m \to R^{2i}(\overline{\psi}_{m-1})_*1\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1}$ is surjective. This implies that ${}^pH^i(j'_!(\psi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) = 0$ for odd i. Hence the perverse cohomology long exact sequence associated to the above distinguished triangle gives rise to a short exact sequence for each even degree part. It follows that ${}^pH^{2i}(j'_!(\psi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m)$ is a semisimple complex given as

$${}^pH^{2i}(j'_!(\psi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{A}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \mathrm{Ind}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}(H^{2i}(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-m})\otimes\rho)\otimes\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho), \tag{2.1.1}$$

where $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)$ is a constructible sheaf. Let $\psi^\bullet : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $\psi^\bullet_m : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+\bullet}_m \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ be the maps defined in 2.2, and $\overline{\psi}^\bullet_m$ be the restriction of $\psi^\bullet$ on $(\psi^\bullet)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$. By abbreviation we denote the restriction of $\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}$ on $(\psi^\bullet)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_m$ by the same symbols $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$. Then $(j'_!(\psi^\bullet_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m, \overline{\psi}^\bullet_m\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, \overline{\psi}^\bullet_{m-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1})$ is also a distinguished triangle. Note that $j'_!(\psi^\bullet_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m[-2n] \simeq j'_!(\psi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$ and $(\overline{\psi}^\bullet_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m[-2n] \simeq (\overline{\psi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m$.

Let $\overline{\pi}_m$ be the restriction of $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_m)$. $\mathcal{X}_{m-1}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{X}_m$, and let j be the inclusion map from $\mathcal{X}^0_m = \mathcal{X}_m\backslash\mathcal{X}_{m-1}$ to $\mathcal{X}_m$. We again denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$) the restriction of $\alpha^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_m)$ (resp. on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$). Then

$(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m, (\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, (\overline{\pi}_{m-1})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1})$ gives rise to a canonical distinguished triangle on $\mathcal{X}_m$. By [SS, (4.9.1)], $(\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m$ is a semisimple complex, whose components are of the form $A[2i]$ for a perverse sheaf A. It follows that ${}^pH^i((\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m) = 0$ for odd i. We consider the long exact sequence of perverse cohomologies arising from the distinguished triangle $(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m, (\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m, (\overline{\pi}_{m-1})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1})$. The map ${}^pH^{2i}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) \to {}^pH^{2i}((\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m)$ is injective, and the map ${}^pH^{2i}((\overline{\pi}_{m-1})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1}) \to {}^pH^{2i+1}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m)$ is surjective. Since the restriction of ${}^pH^{2i+1}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m)$ on $\mathcal{Y}_m$ is zero by the previous discussion, we see that ${}^pH^{2i+1}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) = 0$. It follows that the long exact sequence turns out to be a short exact sequence for ${}^pH^{2i}$-factors. Thus ${}^pH^{2i}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m)$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, and by (4.9.1) in [SS], we have

$$
{}^pH^{2i}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{E}}} (H^{2i}(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-m}) \otimes \rho) \otimes \operatorname{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho), \tag{2.1.2}
$$

and ${}^pH^{\text{odd}}(j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) = 0$.

Put $d_m = \dim \mathcal{X}_m$, and $K_m = j_!(\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m[d_m]$, $\overline{K}_m = (\overline{\pi}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m[d_m]$. Let $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ be the isomorphism defined in 1.3. Then it follows from the discussion there, φ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\varphi}_m : F^*\overline{K}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K}_m$. It also induces an isomorphism $F^*((\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$. Since j commutes with F, we have an isomorphism $F^*K_m \xrightarrow{\sim} K_m$ which is denoted by φ_m. Then the above distinguished triangle is compatible with the isomorphisms $(\varphi_m, \overline{\varphi}_m, \overline{\varphi}_{m-1})$. By the property of distinguished triangles, we have

$$
(-1)^{d_m}\chi_{\overline{K}_m, \overline{\varphi}_m} = (-1)^{d_m}\chi_{K_m,\varphi_m} + (-1)^{d_{m-1}}\chi_{\overline{K}_{m-1},\overline{\varphi}_{m-1}}. \tag{2.1.3}
$$

On the other hand, we consider a natural spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{i,j} = \mathcal{H}^i({}^pH^jK_m) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+j}K_m
$$

in the category of mixed constructible sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_m$. Taking a stalk $z \in \mathcal{X}_m$, we get a spectral sequence $\mathcal{H}^i_z({}^pH^jK) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+j}_zK_m$. The isomorphism $\varphi_m : F^*K_m \xrightarrow{\sim} K_m$ induces an isomorphism $F^*({}^pH^jK_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^pH^jK_m$ which we denote also by φ_m. By the above spectral sequence, this implies that

$$
\chi_{K_m,\varphi_m} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \chi_{{}^pH^jK_m,\varphi_m}
$$

Here we note, by [SS, Lemma 3.3], that

$$
d_m = \dim G^{\iota\theta} + 2m. \tag{2.1.4}
$$

Hence $d_m - d_{m-1} = 2$, and the characteristic function $\chi_{K,\varphi}$ for $K = K_{T,\mathcal{E}} = \overline{K}_n$ can be computed as

$$\chi_{K,\varphi} = \chi_{T,\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{m=0}^{n}\sum_{j\ge 0}\chi_{{}^pH^jK_m,\varphi_m}. \tag{2.1.5}$$

2.2. For a semisimple element $s \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$, we consider $Z_H(s) \times V$. We follow the notation in [SS, 4.3]. Thus $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$, where V_i is an eigenspace of s with $\dim V_i = 2n_i$, and F permutes the eigenspaces. $Z_G(s) \simeq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_t$, where $G_i \simeq GL(V_i)$ is a θ-stable subgroup of G such that $G_i^\theta \simeq Sp(V_i)$. Hence

$$(Z_G(s))^{\iota\theta} \times V \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{t}(G_i^{\iota\theta} \times V_i), \tag{2.2.1}$$

and the natural action of $Z_H(s)$ on the left hand side is compatible with the natural action of G_i^θ on $G_i^{\iota\theta} \times V_i$ of the right hand side under the isomorphism $Z_H(s) \simeq G_1^\theta \times \cdots \times G_t^\theta$.

Let T be a θ-stable, F-stable maximal torus of G contained in a θ-stable (not necessarily F-stable) Borel subgroup B of G. As in [SS, 1.17], we define

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \{g \in H \mid g^{-1}sg \in B^{\iota\theta}\},$$

on which $Z_H(s) \times B^\theta$ acts naturally. The set $Z_H(s)\backslash\mathcal{M}_s/B^\theta$ is identified with $Z_H(s)\backslash\mathcal{M}'_s/T^\theta$ since it is labelled by $\mathit{\Gamma} = W_{H,s'}\backslash W_H$ (see [SS, 1.17]), where $\mathcal{M}'_s = \{g \in H \mid g^{-1}sg \in T^{\iota\theta}\}$. Hence F acts naturally on this set. Assume the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ corresponding to $\gamma \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ is F-stable, and we choose a representative $x_\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_\gamma^F \subset H^F$. Put $B_\gamma = Z_G(s) \cap x_\gamma B x_\gamma^{-1}$, and $T_\gamma = x_\gamma T x_\gamma^{-1}$. Then B_γ is a θ-stable Borel subgroup of $Z_G(s)$ containing T_γ which is a θ-stable maximal torus of $Z_G(s)$. Let M_n be a B^θ-stable maximal isotropic subspace of V, and put $M_n^\gamma = x_\gamma(M_n)$. Then M_n^γ is s-stable, and is decomposed into eigenspaces of s, $M_n^\gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}^\gamma$, where $M_{n_i}^\gamma = M_n^\gamma \cap V_i$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of V_i stable by B_γ^i. (Here we denote by B_γ^i the i-th factor of B_γ under the identification $Z_G(s) \simeq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_t$). We define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_\gamma = \{(x, v, gB_\gamma^\theta) \in Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} \times V \times Z_H(s)/B_\gamma^\theta \mid g^{-1}xg \in B_\gamma^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_n^\gamma\},$$

and a map $\pi_\gamma : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_\gamma \to \mathcal{X}' = Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} \times V$ by $(x, v, gB_\gamma^\theta) \to (x, v)$. In view of the decomposition (2.2.1) and the decomposition $Z_H(s) \simeq G_1^\theta \times \cdots \times G_t^\theta$, the variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_\gamma$ is isomorphic to the product of the varieties $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{\gamma,i}$, which is a similar variety as $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ in 1.2, defined with respect to $G_i^{\iota\theta} \times V_i$, and the maps π_γ is compatible with the corresponding map for each $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{\gamma,i}$. Thus one can define a complex $K_{T_\gamma,\mathcal{E}_\gamma}^{Z_G(s)}$ on $\mathcal{X}'$ similar to $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ ($\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is a tame local system on $T_\gamma^{\iota\theta}$). The results of Section 1 can be applied for this general setting, by replacing G by $Z_G(s)$. In particular, since T_γ is an F-stable maximal torus of $Z_G(s)$, one can define a Green function $Q_{T_\gamma}^{Z_G(s)}$ on $(Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V)^F$.

2.3. For an F-stable torus S, put $(S^F)^\wedge = \mathrm{Hom}(S^F, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. We consider the map $\eta : S \to S$, by $t \mapsto t^{-1}F(t)$, which gives the Lang covering $S \to S/S^F$. Then $\eta_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is decomposed as $\eta_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \simeq \bigoplus_{\vartheta\in(S^F)^\wedge} \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$. Here $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ is an F-stable tame local system on S, and it is characterized by the property that the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathcal{E},\varphi_0}$ coincides with ϑ on S^F up to scalar. Thus if we choose $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ so that it induces an identity on $\mathcal{E}_e$ (the stalk at the identity element $e \in S^F$), we have $\chi_{\mathcal{E},\varphi_0} = \vartheta$.

The following result is an analogy of Lusztig's character formula [L3, Theorem 8.5]. (In the following, we use the notation $T^{\iota\theta,F} = T^{\iota\theta} \cap T^F$).

Theorem 2.4 (Character formula). *Let $s, u \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$ be such that $su = us$, with s: semisimple and u: unipotent. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is an F-stable tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ for $\vartheta \in (T^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge$. Then*

$$\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}(su, v) = |Z_H(s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x\in H^F \\ x^{-1}sx\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}} Q^{Z_G(s)}_{xTx^{-1}}(u, v)\vartheta(x^{-1}sx).$$

The proof of the theorem will be done by chasing Lusztig's arguments step by step. We shall give an outline of the proof below. First we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $T \subset B$ be as in 2.2. For a semisimple element $s \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$, there exists an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}$ such that $e \in \mathcal{U}$ and satisfying the following properties;*

(i) *$g\mathcal{U}g^{-1} = \mathcal{U}$ for any $g \in Z_H(s)$,*
(ii) *$x \in \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $x_s \in \mathcal{U}$,*
(iii) *$F\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$,*
(iv) *If $x \in \mathcal{U}, g \in H, g^{-1}sxg \in B^{\iota\theta}$, then $g^{-1}x_sg \in B^{\iota\theta}$, and $g^{-1}sg \in B^{\iota\theta}$.*
(v) *If $x \in \mathcal{U}, g \in H, g^{-1}sxg \in T^{\iota\theta}$, then $g^{-1}x_sg \in T^{\iota\theta}$ and $g^{-1}sg \in T^{\iota\theta}$.*

Proof. Put $\mathcal{U}' = \{x \in G \mid Z_G(x_s) \subset Z_G(s)\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}'$ is stable by the conjugation action of $Z_H(s)$. We define $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{U} = s^{-1}\mathcal{U}' \cap Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}$ containing e, and satisfies the condition (i), (ii), (iii). Assume that $x \in \mathcal{U}, g \in H, g^{-1}sxg \in T^{\iota\theta}$. Then $g^{-1}sx_sg \in T^{\iota\theta} \subset T$. Since $sx \in \mathcal{U}'$, we have $Z_G(g^{-1}sx_sg) \subset Z_G(g^{-1}sg)$, and so $T \subset Z_G(g^{-1}sg)$. This implies that $g^{-1}sg \in T$ and $g^{-1}x_sg \in T$, thus (v) follows. Next assume that $x \in \mathcal{U}, g \in H, g^{-1}sxg \in B^{\iota\theta}$. Then $g^{-1}sx_sg \in B^{\iota\theta}$. There exists $b \in B^\theta$ such that $b^{-1}(g^{-1}sx_sg)b \in T^{\iota\theta}$. By (v), we have $b^{-1}(g^{-1}sg)b \in T^{\iota\theta}$ and $b^{-1}(g^{-1}x_sg)b \in T^{\iota\theta}$. Hence $g^{-1}sg \in B^{\iota\theta}$ and $g^{-1}x_sg \in B^{\iota\theta}$, which proves (iv). □

2.6. For a fixed m, and an orbit $\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ in 2.2, we define varieties

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathcal{U}} &= \{(sx, v) \in \mathcal{X}^0_m \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\}\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}} &= \{(sx, v, gB^\theta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\},\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} &= \{(sx, v, gB^\theta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}} \mid g \in \mathcal{O}_\gamma\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $p : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}} \to H/B^\theta$ be the projection on the third factor. Then by Lemma 2.5 (iv), $\operatorname{Im} p$ is a subset of $\{gB^\theta \in H/B^\theta \mid g^{-1}sg \in B^{\iota\theta}\}$, on which $Z_H(s)$ acts as a left multiplication, and the set of orbits is in bijection with $\varGamma$. Moreover, each orbit is open and closed, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ coincides with the inverse image of p of an orbit corresponding to γ. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}} = \coprod_{\gamma\in\varGamma} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}, \tag{2.6.1}$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open and closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$. The subvariety $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'^+_{m,\gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_\gamma$ is defined in a similar way as $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$. We define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \{(x, v, gB^\theta_\gamma) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'^+_{m,\gamma} \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then we have

(2.6.2) the map $(x, v, gB^\theta_\gamma) \mapsto (sx, v, gx_\gamma B^\theta)$ gives an isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$.

The proof is similar to [L3]. Put $\mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \pi_\gamma(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma})$, and we define $\mathcal{X}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ by $\mathcal{X}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \{(sx, v) \in s\mathcal{U} \times V \mid (x, v) \in \mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}\}$. Recall the map $\psi^\bullet_m : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_m \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ in 1.2. We define varieties

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}} &= \{(sx, v) \in \mathcal{Y}^0_m \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\},\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U}} &= (\psi^\bullet_m)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}}),\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} &= \{(sx, v, gT^\theta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U}} \mid g \in \mathcal{O}_\gamma\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the map $(x, v, gT^\theta) \mapsto (x, v, gB^\theta)$ gives rise to a vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U}} \to \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}})$ with fibre isomorphic to U_2^n, where $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}})$ is an open dense subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ and U_2 is the maximal unipotent subgroup of SL_2. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$. As in [L3], we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U}} = \coprod_{\gamma\in\varGamma} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma},$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is a non-empty, open and closed subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U}}$. Put $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \psi^\bullet_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma})$. Then for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \varGamma$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma'}$ are either disjoint or coincide. They coincide if and only if γ, γ' are in the same $W_{H,m}$ orbit in $\varGamma$, where $W_{H,m} =$

$\{w \in W_H \mid w(M_m) = M_m\}$ acts on $\mathit{\Gamma}$ from the right. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathit{\Gamma}} \mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ gives a decomposition of $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ into irreducible components.

Let $\mathcal{Y}' = Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times V$, and put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{\bullet}_{\gamma} = \{(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \in Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times V \times Z_H(s)/T^{\theta}_{\gamma} \mid g^{-1}xg \in T^{\iota\theta}_{\gamma}, g^{-1}v \in M^{\gamma}_{n}\}.$$

We define a map $\psi_{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{\bullet}_{\gamma} \to \mathcal{Y}'$ by $(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \mapsto (x, v)$. The subvariety $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{\bullet}_{\gamma}$ is defined in a similar way as $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_{m}$ for $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{\bullet}$, We define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \{(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma} \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

and put $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \psi_{\gamma}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma})$. Then the map $(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \mapsto (x, v, gB^{\theta}_{\gamma})$ gives rise to a vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma} \to \pi^{-1}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{Y}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma})$ with fibre isomorphic to U^{n}_{2}. We also define

$$\mathcal{Y}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma} = \{(sx, v) \in s\mathcal{U} \times V \mid (x, v) \in \mathcal{Y}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}\}.$$

For each $W_{H,m}$-orbit Z in $\mathit{\Gamma}$, we define an open subset $\mathcal{V}_Z$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_Z = \bigcap_{\gamma \in Z} (\mathcal{Y}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}).$$

Since $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$, $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{X}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{X}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$. It follows that $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{X}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$. In particular, $\mathcal{V}_Z$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,s\mathcal{U},\gamma}$. Here $\mathcal{Y}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{Y}'^{0}_{m,\gamma}$, where $\mathcal{Y}'^{0}_{m,\gamma}$ is a similar variety as $\mathcal{Y}^{0}_{m}$ defined for $Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} \times V$. Hence $\mathcal{V}_Z$ is smooth, irreducible, and by [SS, Lemma 3.3], we have $\dim \mathcal{V}_Z = \dim Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} + 2m$, which is independent of Z. Moreover, we have $F(\mathcal{V}_Z) = \mathcal{V}_{F(Z)}$. Put $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{Z} \mathcal{V}_Z$, where Z runs over all $W_{H,m}$-orbits in $\mathit{\Gamma}$. Then

(2.6.3) $\mathcal{V}$ is an open dense smooth equidimensional subset of $\mathcal{Y}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ and $F(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, $\{\mathcal{V}_Z\}$ gives the set of irreducible components in $\mathcal{V}$.

We have a commutative diagram

(2.6.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_{m}|_{\mathcal{V}} \arrow[d] & \coprod_{\gamma \in \mathit{\Gamma}} (\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma}|_{s^{-1}\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[l, "\widetilde{\varepsilon}"'] \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{V} & s^{-1}\mathcal{V}, \arrow[l, "\varepsilon"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_{m}|_{\mathcal{V}} &= \{(sx, v, gT^{\theta}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_{m} \mid (sx, v) \in \mathcal{V}\}, \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma}|_{s^{-1}\mathcal{V}} &= \{(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+}_{m,\gamma} \mid (sx, v) \in \mathcal{V}_Z\} \quad (\gamma \in Z), \\
s^{-1}\mathcal{V} &= \{(x, v) \in \mathcal{U} \times V \mid (sx, v) \in \mathcal{V}\},
\end{aligned}$$

and the map $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ is an isomorphism given by $(x, v, gT^{\theta}_{\gamma}) \mapsto (sx, v, gx_{\gamma}T^{\theta})$, ε is an isomorphism given by $(x, v) \mapsto (sx, v)$. Moreover, the vertical maps are projections to the first and the second factors.

Recall the modified Frobenius map $F' : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_m \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+,\bullet}_m$ given in 1.2, and consider the corresponding map F' on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma}$. Then the varieties in the upper row in (2.6.4) are F'-stable, those in the lower row are F-stable, and all the maps are compatible with F, F' actions. It follows that we have a canonical isomorphism of semisimple complexes (see (2.1.1))

$$\varepsilon^*((j'_!(\psi^{\bullet}_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m)|_{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\varGamma}((j'_{\gamma})_!(\psi^{\bullet}_{m,\gamma})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{m,\gamma})|_{s^{-1}\mathcal{V}} \tag{2.6.5}$$

and this isomorphism is compatible with the lifting of Frobenius maps induced from $\varphi_0 : F^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$, where $j'_{\gamma}, \psi^{\bullet}_{m,\gamma}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{m,\gamma}$ are the objects for $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'^{+,\bullet}_{m,\gamma}$ corresponding to $j', \psi^{\bullet}_m, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$ for $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{+}_m$. Let K_m be as in 2.1, and let $K_{m,\gamma} = (j_{\gamma})_!(\pi_{m,\gamma})_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{m,\gamma}[d_{m,\gamma}]$ the corresponding object on $\mathcal{X}'_{m,\gamma}$, where $j_{\gamma}, \pi_{m,\gamma}, d_{m,\gamma}$ are defined similar to j, π_m, d_m. Then by (2.1.1), (2.1.3), and by the corresponding formulas for $K_{m,\gamma}$, the isomorphism in (2.6.5) can be regarded as an isomorphism

$$\varepsilon^*({}^pH^j(K_m)|_{\mathcal{V}})[-\delta] \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\varGamma}{}^pH^j(K_{m,\gamma})|_{s^{-1}\mathcal{V}} \tag{2.6.6}$$

for each j, where $\delta = d_m - d_{m,\gamma} = \dim G^{\iota\theta} - \dim Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}$ (see (2.1.4)). We note that the isomorphism in (2.6.6) is the restriction of an isomorphism

$$\varepsilon^*({}^pH^j(K_m)|_{\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathcal{U}}})[-\delta] \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\varGamma}{}^pH^j(K_{m,\gamma})|_{\mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}}, \tag{2.6.7}$$

where ε is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}$ into $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathcal{U}}$ given by $(x, v) \mapsto (sx, v)$. In fact, since

$$\begin{aligned} K_m|_{\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathcal{U}}} &\simeq j_!(\pi_m)_*(\alpha^*\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathcal{U}}}), \\ K_{m,\gamma}|_{\mathcal{X}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}} &\simeq (j_{\gamma})_!(\pi_{m,\gamma})_*(\alpha^*_{\gamma}\mathcal{E}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'_{m,\mathcal{U},\gamma}}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the isomorphism in (2.6.7) from (2.6.1) and (2.6.2), which is clearly compatible with the isomorphism in (2.6.6).

Now the complex ${}^pH^jK_m$ can be written as in (2.1.2), and a similar formula holds for ${}^pH^jK_{m,\gamma}$. In particular, the right hand side of (2.6.7) can be given, as a semisimple complex, by the intersection cohomologies obtained from local systems on the open dense smooth equidimensional subset of $s^{-1}\mathcal{V}$. Thus the isomorphism in (2.6.6) is uniquely extended to the isomorphism in (2.6.7), which is automatically compatible with the lifting of the Frobenius maps. By (2.6.7), we obtain a relation of the stalks of the cohomology sheaves for each i;

$$\mathcal{H}^{i-\delta}_{(su,v)}{}^pH^jK_m \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\varGamma}\mathcal{H}^{i}_{(u,v)}{}^pH^jK_{m,\gamma}.$$

Under this isomorphism, the canonical map $\varphi_m : F^*K_m \xrightarrow{\sim} K_m$ on the left hand side induces an isomorphism $\varphi'_{m,\gamma} : F^*K_{m,\gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{m,\gamma}$ for each γ on the right hand side. Thus we have

$$\chi_{{}^pH^jK_m,\varphi_m}(su,v) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\varGamma\\ F(\gamma)=\gamma}}\chi_{{}^pH^jK_{m,\gamma},\varphi'_{m,\gamma}}(u,v)$$

for each j. (Note that $\delta = \dim G^{\iota\theta} - \dim Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta}$ is even since $\dim G^{\iota\theta} = 2n^2 - n$ by [SS, Lemma 1.9], and similarly $\dim Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{t}(2n_i^2 - n_i)$ by 2.2.) Let $\varphi_{m,\gamma} : F^*K_{m,\gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{m,\gamma}$ be the canonical isomorphism. Then one can check that $\varphi'_{m,\gamma} = \vartheta(x_\gamma^{-1}sx_\gamma)\varphi_{m,\gamma}$. By using (2.1.5), we have

$$\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}(su,v) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\varGamma\\ F(\gamma)=\gamma}} Q^{Z_G(s)}_{T_\gamma}(u,v)\vartheta(x_\gamma^{-1}sx_\gamma).$$

Since $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma^F| = |Z_H(s)^F||T^{\theta F}||T_\gamma^{\theta F}|^{-1} = |Z_H(s)^F|$ for an F-stable $\mathcal{O}_\gamma$, we obtain the required formula. The theorem is proved.

In view of Remark 1.8, we obtain the character formula for $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ as a corollary to Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.7 (Character formula for $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$). *Let the notations be the same as in Theorem 2.4. Then we have*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}(su) = |Z_H(s)^F|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{x\in H^F\\ x^{-1}sx\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}} Q^{Z_G(s),\mathrm{sym}}_{xTx^{-1}}(u)\vartheta(x^{-1}sx),$$

where $Q^{Z_G(s),\mathrm{sym}}_{xTx^{-1}}$ *is the Green function* $Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{xTx^{-1}}$ *for* $Z_G(s)$.

3. Orthogonality relations

3.1. Let T be a θ-stable maximal torus of G contained in a θ-stable Borel subgroup of G. Assume that T is F-stable, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an F-stable tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$. We consider the complex $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ associated to the pair $(T,\mathcal{E})$ as in 1.3. Let $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ and Q_T be the functions defined in 1.5. We also consider similar objects $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}, Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_T$ with respect to the symmetric space as in Remark 1.8. In this section, we prove the orthogonality relations for these functions. First we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let T, T' be θ-stable maximal tori of G as above (forgetting the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure), and $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ tame local systems on $T^{\iota\theta}, T'^{\iota\theta}$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}'$ is a constant sheaf, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a non-constant sheaf.*

(i) *Let* $K = K_{T,\mathcal{E}}, K' = K_{T',\mathcal{E}'}$ *be complexes on* $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ *with respect to* $(T, \mathcal{E}), (T', \mathcal{E}')$. *Then we have*

$$\mathbf{H}^i_c(\mathcal{X}, K \otimes K') = 0 \quad \textit{for all } i.$$

(ii) *Let* $K = K^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}, K' = K'^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T',\mathcal{E}'}$ *be complexes on* $G^{\iota\theta}$ *with respect to* $(T, \mathcal{E}), (T', \mathcal{E}')$. *Then we have*

$$\mathbf{H}^i_c(G^{\iota\theta}, K \otimes K') = 0 \quad \textit{for all } i.$$

Proof. We prove the proposition in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 7.2 in [L3]. First consider the case (i). We may replace $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ by $\widetilde{K}_{B,\mathcal{E}}$, and $K_{T',\mathcal{E}'}$ by $\widetilde{K}_{B',\mathcal{E}'}$, where B (resp. B') is a θ-stable Borel subgroup of G containing T (resp. T'). We consider the fibre product $Z = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is the variety given in 1.4 attached to B, M_n, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ is a similar one attached to B', M'_n. Then Z can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \{(x, v,\, gB^\theta, g'B'^\theta) &\in G^{\iota\theta} \times V \times H/B^\theta \times H/B'^\theta \\ &\mid g^{-1}xg \in B^{\iota\theta}, g'^{-1}xg' \in B'^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_n, g'^{-1}v \in M'_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that H acts on Z so that the projections $Z \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, $Z \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ are compatible with H-actions on those varieties. Let $\mathcal{L} = \alpha^*\mathcal{E}$ be a local system on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, and $\mathcal{L}'$ a similar local system on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$. Since $K = \pi_*\mathcal{L}$, and similarly for K', up to shift, by the Künneth formula, we have

$$\mathbf{H}^i_c(\mathcal{X}, K \otimes K') \simeq H^i_c(Z, \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}') \tag{3.2.1}$$

up to shift. Hence in oder to show the proposition, it is enough to see that the right hand side of (3.2.1) is equal to zero for each i. For each H-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of $H/B^\theta \times H/B'^\theta$, put

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}} = \{(x, v, gB^\theta, g'B'^\theta) \in Z \mid (gB^\theta, g'B'^\theta) \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

Then $Z = \coprod_{\mathcal{O}} Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a finite partition, and $Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a locally closed subvariety of Z. Hence by a cohomology exact sequence, it is enough to show that $H^i_c(Z_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}') = 0$ for any i and any $\mathcal{O}$. We define a morphism $f_{\mathcal{O}} : Z_{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{O}$ as the third and fourth projection. Then by the Leray spectral sequence, we have

$$H^i_c(\mathcal{O}, R^j(f_{\mathcal{O}})_!(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}')) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_c(Z_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}').$$

Thus it is enough to show that $R^j(f_{\mathcal{O}})_!(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}') = 0$ for any j, which is equivalent to $H^j_c(f^{-1}_{\mathcal{O}}(\xi), \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}') = 0$ for any j and any $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'$ is an H-equivariant local system on Z, it is enough to show this for a single element $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence we may choose $\xi = (B^\theta, \omega B'^\theta)$, where $\omega \in H$ is such that $\omega T'\omega^{-1} = T$. (Note that T and T' are conjugate under H.) Then $f^{-1}_{\mathcal{O}}(\xi)$ is isomorphic to $Z(\omega)$, where

$$Z(\omega) = (B^{\iota\theta} \cap \omega B'^{\iota\theta}\omega^{-1}) \times (M_n \cap \omega(M'_n)).$$

Here we have

$$B^{\iota\theta} \cap \omega B'^{\iota\theta}\omega^{-1} = \{su \in B \mid s \in T^{\iota\theta}, u \in U \cap \omega U'\omega^{-1}, \theta(u) = su^{-1}s^{-1}\}.$$

Then the map $t_1 * u_1 \mapsto \theta(t_1^{-1})t_1 \cdot (t_1^{-1}u_1t_1)$ gives an isomorphism

$$T \times^{T^\theta} (U \cap \omega U'\omega^{-1})^{\iota\theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\iota\theta} \cap \omega B'^{\iota\theta}\omega^{-1}.$$

It follows that the projection $B^{\iota\theta} \cap \omega B'^{\iota\theta}\omega^{-1} \to T^{\iota\theta} \simeq T/T^\theta$ gives rise to a vector bundle over $T^{\iota\theta}$. Hence $Z(\omega)$ is also a vector bundle over $T^{\iota\theta}$, of rank say d. If we denote by $a : T^{\iota\theta} \to T'^{\iota\theta} = \omega^{-1}T^{\iota\theta}\omega$, we have

$$H_c^{i+2d}(Z(\omega), \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}') \simeq H_c^i(T^{\iota\theta}, \mathcal{E} \otimes a^*\mathcal{E}') \simeq H_c^i(T^{\iota\theta}, \mathcal{E})$$

since $\mathcal{E}'$ is a constant sheaf. Hence we have only to show that $H_c^i(T^{\iota\theta}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for any i. But this certainly holds since $\mathcal{E}$ is a non-constant tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$. Thus (i) is proved. (ii) is proved in a similar way, just ignoring the vector space part. □

3.3. For θ-stable maximal tori T, T' conjugate under H, put

$$\begin{aligned} N_H(T^\theta, T'^\theta) &= \{n \in H \mid n^{-1}T^\theta n = T'^\theta\}, \\ N_H(T^{\iota\theta}, T'^{\iota\theta}) &= \{n \in H \mid n^{-1}T^{\iota\theta} n = T'^{\iota\theta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since T, T' are H-conjugate, there exists $h \in H$ such that $h^{-1}Th = T'$. It follows that $N_H(T^\theta, T'^\theta) = N_H(T^\theta)h = hN_H(T'^\theta)$, and $N_H(T^{\iota\theta}, T'^{\iota\theta}) = N_H(T^{\iota\theta})h = hN_H(T'^{\iota\theta})$. Since one can check that $N_H(T^\theta) \subset N_H(T^{\iota\theta})$, we have

$$N_H(T^\theta, T'^\theta) \subset N_H(T^{\iota\theta}, T'^{\iota\theta}). \tag{3.3.1}$$

The following orthogonality relations are an analogy of Theorem 9.2 and Theorem 9.3 in [L3]. (In the following, we use the notation as in Theorem 2.4, $T^{\theta,F} = T^\theta \cap T^F$ and $T^{\iota\theta,F} = T^{\iota\theta} \cap T^F$.)

Theorem 3.4 (Orthogonality relations for $\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}$)**.** *Assume that T, T' are F-stable, θ-stable maximal tori in G as in 3.1. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta, \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_{\vartheta'}$ be tame local systems on $T^{\iota\theta}, T'^{\iota\theta}$ with $\vartheta \in (T^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge, \vartheta' \in (T'^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &|H^F|^{-1} \sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{X}^F} \chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}(x,v)\chi_{T',\mathcal{E}'}(x,v) \\ &\qquad = |T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n\in N_H(T^\theta,T'^\theta)^F \\ t\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}} \vartheta(t)\vartheta'(n^{-1}tn). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.1}$$

(Note that $n^{-1}tn \in T'^{\iota\theta,F}$ by (3.3.1)).

Theorem 3.5 (Orthogonality relations for Green functions).

$$|H^F|^{-1}\sum_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}} Q_T(u,v)Q_{T'}(u,v) = \frac{|N_H(T^\theta, T'^\theta)^F|}{|T^{\theta,F}||T'^{\theta,F}|}. \tag{3.5.1}$$

3.6. In view of the decomposition in (2.2.1), the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{X}, \chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}, Q_T$, etc. makes sense if we replace G by $Z_G(s)$ for a semisimple element $s \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$, and $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ by $Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} \times V$. All the results in [SS] and the results in the previous sections can be extended to the case of $Z_G(s)$. Thus Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 are formulated for this general setting. In order to make the inductive argument smoothly, we shall prove Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 simultaneously under this setting.

First we note that (3.4.1) holds when ϑ' is the trivial character and ϑ is a non-trivial character. In fact, by the Grothendieck's trace formula for the Frobenius map, the left hand side of (3.4.1) coincides with

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(F^*, \mathbf{H}^i_c(\mathcal{X}, K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{T',\mathcal{E}'})),$$

where F^* is an isomorphism induced from $\varphi : F^*K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ and a similar isomorphism for $K_{T',\mathcal{E}'}$. Then by Lemma 3.2 (i), we see that the left hand side of (3.4.1) is equal to zero. On the other hand, the right hand side of (3.4.1) is equal to zero by the orthogonality relations for irreducible characters of $T^{\iota\theta,F}$. Hence the assertion holds.

3.7. We shall verify the equality (3.5.1) in the special case where $n = 1$, namely in the case where $G = GL_2$ and $H = SL_2$. In this case the H-orbits in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} = G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V$ are parametrized by $\mathcal{P}_{n,2} = \{(1;-), (-;1)\}$. The H-orbit corresponding to $(-;1)$ (resp. $(1;-)$) is represented by $z_0 = (e,0) \in \mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ (resp. $z_1 = (e,v) \in \mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ with $v \neq 0$), where $e \in G^{\iota\theta}$ is the unit element in G. Then $\pi_1^{-1}(z_0) = H/B^\theta$, and $\pi_1^{-1}(z_1) = \{B_1^\theta\}$, where B_1^θ is the stabilizer in H of a line determined by $v \in V$. Let T_0 be the maximal torus of G consisting of diagonal matrices. The set of F-stable maximal tori of G conjugate to T_0 under H is parametrized by $W_2 \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = \{\pm 1\}$. T_0 corresponds to $1 \in W_2$, and let T_1 be a maximal torus corresponding to $-1 \in W_2$. Then $|T_0^{\theta,F}| = q-1$, $|T_1^{\theta,F}| = q+1$, and we have

$$\begin{cases} Q_{T_0}(z_0) = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}}(q+1), \\ Q_{T_1}(z_0) = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}}(-q+1), \\ Q_{T_0}(z_1) = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}}, \\ Q_{T_1}(z_1) = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\dim \mathcal{X} = 2n^2 + n = 3$. If we put $\langle Q_T, Q_{T'}\rangle_{\text{ex}} = |H^F|^{-1}\sum_{z\in\mathcal{X}^F_{\text{uni}}} Q_T(z)Q_{T'}(z)$, one can easily compute that

$$\begin{cases}\langle Q_{T_0}, Q_{T_1}\rangle_{\text{ex}} = 0, \\ \langle Q_{T_0}, Q_{T_0}\rangle_{\text{ex}} = |H^F|^{-1}(2q^2+2q), \\ \langle Q_{T_1}, Q_{T_1}\rangle_{\text{ex}} = |H^F|^{-1}(2q^2-2q).\end{cases}$$

Since $|N_H(T^\theta)^F|/|T^{\theta,F}| = 2$ for $T = T_0, T_1$, and $|H^F| = q(q^2-1)$, the equality (3.5.1) holds in this case.

Next we assume that G is an r product of GL_2, on which F acts transitively. Then the formula (3.5.1) is reduced to the case where $H = SL_2$ with F replaced by F^r. Hence it holds also in this case. Thus (3.5.1) holds in the case where G is a product of GL_2 on which F acts as a permutation of factors.

3.8. In this subsection, we show that Theorem 3.4 holds for G under the assumption that Theorem 3.5 holds for the subgroup $Z_G(s)$ for any semisimple element $s \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$. Let $G^{\iota\theta}_{\text{ss}}$ be the set of semisimple elements in $G^{\iota\theta}$. By making use of the character formula (Theorem 2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|H^F|^{-1}&\sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{X}^F}\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}(x,v)\chi_{T'\mathcal{E}'}(x,v) \\ &= |H^F|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{s\in(G^{\iota\theta}_{\text{ss}})^F \\ x,x'\in H^F \\ x^{-1}sx\in T^{\iota\theta,F} \\ x'^{-1}sx'\in T'^{\iota\theta,F}}} f(s,x,x')|Z_H(s)^F|^{-2}\vartheta(x^{-1}sx)\vartheta'(x'^{-1}sx'),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(s,x,x') = \sum_{(u,v)\in(\mathcal{X}^{Z_G(s)}_{\text{uni}})^F} Q^{Z_G(s)}_{xTx^{-1}}(u,v)Q^{Z_G(s)}_{x'T'x'^{-1}}(u,v),$$

($\mathcal{X}^{Z_G(s)}_{\text{uni}}$ denotes the object $\mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}}$ defined for $Z_G(s)$ instead of G). By applying Theorem 3.5 for $Z_G(s)$, we see that

$$f(s,x,x') = |Z_H(s)^F||T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1}\sharp\{n\in Z_H(s)^F \mid n^{-1}xT^\theta x^{-1}n = x'T'^\theta x'^{-1}\}.$$

It follows that the previous sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&|H^F|^{-1}|T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1} \\ &\quad\times\sum_{\substack{s\in(G^{\iota\theta}_{\text{ss}})^F \\ x,x'\in H^F \\ x^{-1}sx\in T^{\iota\theta,F} \\ x'^{-1}sx'\in T'^{\iota\theta,F}}}|Z_H(s)^F|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{n\in Z_H(s)^F \\ n^{-1}xT^\theta x^{-1}n=x'T'^\theta x'^{-1}}}\vartheta(x^{-1}sx)\vartheta'(x'^{-1}sx').\end{aligned}$$

Now put $t = x^{-1}sx \in T^{\iota\theta,F}$ and $y = x^{-1}nx'$. We have $y \in H^F$ with $y^{-1}T^\theta y = T'^\theta$, and so $y^{-1}T^{\iota\theta}y = T'^{\iota\theta}$ by (3.3.1). Then the condition for x' is given by $x' \in$

$(xZ_H(t)y)^F$. Under this change of variables, the above sum can be rewritten as

$$|H^F|^{-1}|T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{x\in H^F\\ t\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}}|Z_H(t)^F|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{y\in N_H(T^\theta,T'^\theta)^F\\ x'\in(xZ_H(t)y)^F}}\vartheta(t)\vartheta'(y^{-1}ty)$$

which is equal to

$$|T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1}\sum_{t\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}\sum_{y\in N_H(T^\theta,T'^\theta)^F}\vartheta(t)\vartheta'(y^{-1}ty).$$

Thus our assertion holds.

3.9. We shall show that Theorem 3.5 holds for G under the assumption that it holds for $Z_G(s)$ if s is not central. Hence Theorem 3.4 holds for such groups $Z_G(s)$ by 3.8. Put

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &= |H^F|^{-1}\sum_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}}Q_T(u,v)Q_{T'}(u,v),\\ A_2 &= |T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|N_H(T^\theta,T'^\theta)^F|.\end{aligned}$$

By making use of a part of the arguments in 3.8 (which can be applied to the case where $s\notin Z(G)^{\iota\theta,F}$), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&|H^F|^{-1}\sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{X}^F}\chi_{T,\mathcal{E}}(x,v)\chi_{T',\mathcal{E}'}(x,v)-A_1\varTheta\\ &\qquad=|T^{\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\theta,F}|^{-1}\sum_{t\in T^{\iota\theta,F}}\sum_{y\in N_H(T^\theta,T'^\theta)^F}\vartheta(t)\vartheta'(y^{-1}ty)-A_2\varTheta\end{aligned}\tag{3.9.1}$$

with $\varTheta=\sum_{s\in Z(G)^{\iota\theta,F}}\vartheta(s)\vartheta'(s)$. This formula holds for any $\vartheta\in(T^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge$, $\vartheta'\in(T'^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge$. In the case where G is a product of GL_2, Theorem 3.5 is verified in 3.7. So we may assume that there exists a factor of G of the form GL_{2n} with $n\geq 2$. Then one can find a linear character ϑ of $T^{\iota\theta,F}$ such that $\vartheta|_{Z(G)^{\iota\theta,F}}=\mathrm{id}$ and that $\vartheta\neq\mathrm{id}$. We choose ϑ' the identity character of $T'^{\iota\theta,F}$. Then by 3.6, the first term of the left hand side of (3.9.1) coincides with the first term of the right hand side. Since $\varTheta=|Z(G)^{\iota\theta,F}|\neq 0$ in this case, we obtain $A_1=A_2$ as asserted.

3.10. We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. First note that Theorem 3.5 holds for G in the case where G is a product of GL_2 by 3.7. Thus Theorem 3.4 holds for such G by 3.9. Next we consider the general G. By induction on the semisimple rank of G, we may assume that Theorem 3.4 and 3.5 hold for $Z_G(s)$ with s is not central. Hence Theorem 3.5 holds for G by 3.9, and so Theorem 3.4 holds for G by 3.8. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5.

By applying a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, one can prove the orthogonality relations in the case of symmetric spaces.

Theorem 3.11 (Orthogonality relations for $\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}$). *Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta, \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_{\vartheta'}$ with $\vartheta \in (T^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge, \vartheta' \in (T'^{\iota\theta,F})^\wedge$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}|H^F|^{-1} &\sum_{x \in G^{\iota\theta,F}} \chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T,\mathcal{E}}(x)\chi^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T',\mathcal{E}'}(x) \\ &= |T^{\iota\theta,F}|^{-1}|T'^{\iota\theta,F}|^{-1}q^{-2r} \sum_{\substack{n \in N_H(T^{\iota\theta},T'^{\iota\theta})^F \\ t \in T^{\iota\theta,F}}} \vartheta(t)\vartheta'(n^{-1}tn),\end{aligned}$$

where r is the rank of H.

Theorem 3.12 (Orthogonality relations for Green functions Q^{sym}_T).

$$|H^F|^{-1} \sum_{u \in G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}} Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_T(u)Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T'}(u) = q^{-2r}\frac{|N_H(T^{\iota\theta},T'^{\iota\theta})^F|}{|T^{\iota\theta,F}||T'^{\iota\theta,F}|} \tag{3.12.1}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we prove these two theorems simultaneously for the groups of the form $Z_G(s)$. First we consider the case where $G = GL_2$. In this case, $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} = \{e\}$, and $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ coincides with the center of G. We have $\mathcal{W} = \{1\}$. Let $u = e$. Then $\pi_1^{-1}(u) = \mathcal{B}^\theta$, where $\pi_1 : \widetilde{G}^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \to G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ is as in [SS, 1.10]. Thus $Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T_0}(u) = (-1)^{\dim \widetilde{G}^{\iota\theta}}(q+1)$. We see that the left hand side of (3.12.1) for $T = T_0$ is equal to

$$|H^F|^{-1}(q+1)^2 = q^{-1}(q+1)(q-1)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$N_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})^F/|T_0^{\iota\theta F}|^2 = |H^F|/(q-1)^2 = q(q+1)(q-1)^{-1}.$$

Since $r = 1$, we obtain the equality in (3.12.1). By a similar argument as in 3.7, this implies that Theorem 3.12 holds for G, where G is a product of GL_2. Then the arguments in 3.6 $\sim$ 3.10 are applied to our situation, by using Lemma 3.2 (ii) instead of (i), and by the character formula (Corollary 2.7). This proves the theorems. □

4. A purity result

4.1. For an θ-stable Borel subgroup of G, we denote the map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ given in 1.4 as $\pi_{1,B} : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni},B} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ to indicate the dependence on B. Let $K_1 = (\pi_{1,B_0})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}]$. By the Springer correspondence ([SS, Theorem 5.4]), we have

$$K_1 \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} \rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

where $A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}]$, and $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, K_1)$ is the irreducible W_n-module corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ by [SS, Theorem 7.1]. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathrm{uni},B_0}$ has a natural $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure, we have an isomorphism $\varphi_1 : F^*K_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1$. Since each H-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is F-stable, we have $F^*A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \simeq A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Hence φ_1 induces an isomorphism $F^*(\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$. It follows that there exists a unique isomorphism $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : F^*A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ such that $\varphi_1 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, where $\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is the identity map on $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Let $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : F^*A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be the natural isomorphism induced form the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Since $A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a simple perverse sheaf, $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ coincides with $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ up to scalar. Let $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} - \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})/2$. We note that

(4.1.1) $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = q^{d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}\phi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. In particular, the map $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ gives a scalar multiplication $q^{d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ on $A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$.

In fact, for $z \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, we have $\mathcal{H}^i_z K_1 \simeq H^{i+\dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$, where $\mathcal{B}_z$ is the closed subvariety of $\mathcal{B} = H/B^\theta$ isomorphic to $\pi_{1,B}^{-1}(z)$. For $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, we have ([SS, (5.4.3)])

$$H^{2d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^0_z \,\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}.$$

Here $d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \dim \mathcal{B}_z$, and $H^{2d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is an irreducible W_n-module. Since the Frobenius action on $H^{2d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ commutes with the W_n action, we see that the Frobenius map acts on $H^{2d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}(\mathcal{B}_z, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ as a scalar multiplication. In particular, all the irreducible components in $\mathcal{B}_z$ are F-stable, and this scalar is given by $q^{d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$. It follows that $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ acts as a scalar multiplication $q^{d_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ on $\mathcal{H}^0_z \,\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Since $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ gives the identity map on this space, we obtain (4.1.1).

We choose a θ-stable Borel subgroup B containing $T = T_w$ for $w \in W_n$, and consider the complex $K_w = (\pi_{1,B})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}]$. By 1.3, we have a canonical isomorphism $\varphi_w : F^*K_w \xrightarrow{\sim} K_w$. Note that, by definition, we have $Q_{T_w} = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{X} - \dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}}\chi_{K_w,\varphi_w}$. In view of (1.7.3), we have

$$Q_{T_w} = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{X} - \dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}} \chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w)\chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}},\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}, \tag{4.1.2}$$

where $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is the irreducible character of W_n corresponding to $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. (Note that $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w) = \chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w^{-1})$, and that the effect of F^* on θ_w is ignored since F acts trivially on W_n.)

4.2. We shall consider a similar setting for $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. Let $K_1 = (\pi_{1,B_0})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}]$ with respect to $\pi_1 : \widetilde{G}^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \to G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. By [SS, Remark 7.5], we have

$$K_1 \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^n) \otimes \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \rho_\mu \otimes A_\mu,$$

where $A_\mu = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\mu, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim \mathcal{O}_\mu]$ with respect to the H-orbit $\mathcal{O}_\mu$ in $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, and ρ_μ is the irreducible S_n-module such that $H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^n) \otimes \rho_\mu \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A_\mu, K_1)$. The natural $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure on $\widetilde{G}^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi_1 : F^*K_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1$, and it determines an isomorphism $\varphi_\mu : F^*A_\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\mu$ for each μ such that $\varphi_1 = (q+1)^n \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sigma_\mu \otimes \varphi_\mu$ with σ_μ the identity map on ρ_μ. As in (4.1.1) we see that

(4.2.1) $\varphi_\mu = q^{d_\mu}\phi_\mu$, where $d_\mu = (\dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} - \dim \mathcal{O}_\mu)/2$, and ϕ_μ is the isomorphism $F^*A_\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\mu$ induced from the natural $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure on $\mathcal{O}_\mu$. In particular, the map φ_μ gives a scalar multiplication q^{d_μ} on $A_\mu|_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}$.

We consider the complex $K_w = (\pi_{1,B})_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}]$ on $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ with respect to a θ-stable Borel subgroup B containing $T = T_w$ for $w \in S_n$. By Remark 1.8, one can define an isomorphism $\varphi_w : F^*K_w \xrightarrow{\sim} K_w$. By definition, $Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T_w} = (-1)^{\dim G^{\iota\theta} - \dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}}\chi_{K_w,\varphi_w}$. A similar discussion as in 1.7 can be applied also for this case. Then the map φ_w is described by the action of S_n on each factor ρ_μ and by the map φ_μ. But contrast to the case for $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, the Frobenius action on K_w permutes the factors on $\mathbf{P}_1^n$ through the permutation w, and induces an action F^* on $H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^n)$. Here we define a polynomial $\Psi_\nu(t)$ for each partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)$ of n by $\Psi_\nu(t) = \prod_{i=1}^k (t^{\nu_i} + 1)$, and define, for each $w \in S_n$, $\Psi_w(t) = \Psi_\nu(t)$ if w is of type ν. Then one sees that $\chi_{F^*, H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^n)} = \Psi_w(q)$. Thus as in (4.1.2), we have

$$Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T_w} = (-1)^{\dim G^{\iota\theta} - \dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \Psi_w(q)\chi^\mu(w)\chi_{A_\mu,\varphi_\mu}, \tag{4.2.2}$$

where χ^μ is the irreducible character of S_n corresponding to ρ_μ.

4.3. We now consider the Frobenius action on $A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ more precisely. In general, let K be a complex on a variety X defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ such that $F^*K \simeq K$. An isomorphism $\phi : F^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} K$ is said to be pointwise pure if the eigenvalues of ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^i_x K$ are algebraic integers all of whose complex conjugate have absolute value $q^{i/2}$ for any $x \in X^F$ and for any i. Returning to our setting, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $A = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ and $\phi : F^*A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ be the isomorphism induced from the Frobenius map F on $\mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. Then ϕ is pointwise pure.*

Proof. Since $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$, compatible with H-action (see [SS, 1.7]), the H-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to the corresponding H-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$. So we may pass to the Lie algebra case, and consider an H-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$. In [AH, Corrigendum, Proposition 3], Achar-Henderson constructed a transversal slice for a G-orbit of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ with a suitable one parameter subgroup action. Modifying their argument, we will construct a transversal slice for $\mathcal{O}$. Recall a closed subgroup $A \simeq GL_n$ of G given in [SS, 1.4]. Put $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, A$ and $\mathfrak{a}' = \theta(\mathfrak{a})$. Thus $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{gl}(M_n)$, $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{gl}(M'_n)$ with $V = M_n \oplus M'_n$. Assume that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ with $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)})$. Take $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. We may assume that $x = y - \theta(y)$ with $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $v \in M_n$. Put $\nu = \mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}$ with $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m)$. Then $(y, v) \in \mathfrak{a} \times M_n$ is of type $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. We fix a normal basis $\{v_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le \nu_i\}$ of M_n with respect to (y, v), and a basis $\{v'_{i,j}\}$ of M'_n as in [SS, 7.2]. (Here we may choose $v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i,\mu^{(1)}_i}$ as in the original setting in [AH].) Following [AH], we define a subspace U of $\mathfrak{g}$ with basis

$$\begin{aligned}\{z_{i_1,i_2,s}, z_{i_1^*,i_2,s}, z_{i_1,i_2^*,s}, z_{i_1^*,i_2^*,s} \\ \mid 1 \le i_1, i_2 \le m, \max\{0, \nu_{i_1} - \nu_{i_2}\} \le s \le \nu_{i_1} - 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $z_{i_1,i_2,s}$ (resp. $z_{i_1^*,i_2,s}, z_{i_1,i_2^*,s}, z_{i_1^*,i_2^*,s}$) is the map defined by sending the basis $v_{i_2,1}$ to $v_{i_1,s+1}$ (resp. $v_{i_2,1}$ to $v'_{i_1,\nu_{i_1}-s}$, $v'_{i_2,\nu_{i_2}}$ to $v_{i_1,s+1}$, $v'_{i_2,\nu_{i_2}}$ to $v'_{i_1,\nu_{i_1}-s}$), and sending all other basis to zero. Then U is a θ-stable subspace since $\theta(z_{i_1,i_2,s}) = \pm z_{i_1^*,i_2^*,s}$, $\theta(z_{i_1^*,i_2,s}) = \pm z_{i_1,i_2^*,s}$. Achar-Henderson proved that

$$[\mathfrak{g}, x] \oplus U = \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.4.1}$$

Since U is θ-stable, we have $U = U^{\theta} \oplus U^{-\theta}$. Since $x \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$, we have $[\mathfrak{g}^{\theta}, x] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$, $[\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}, x] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\theta}$, and so $[\mathfrak{g}, x] = [\mathfrak{g}^{\theta}, x] \oplus [\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}, x]$ Thus by taking the $-\theta$-part in (4.4.1), we have

$$[\mathfrak{g}^{\theta}, x] \oplus U^{-\theta} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Let D be the subspace of V spanned by

$$\{v_{i,j}, v'_{i,j'} \mid 1 \le i \le m, \mu_i^{(1)} + 1 \le j \le \nu_i, 1 \le j' \le \mu_i^{(2)}\}.$$

Then D is complementary to $E_G^x v$ (see [SS, 2.1] for the notation). The last space coincides with $E_H^x v$ by [SS, Lemma 2.2], where $E_H^x = \operatorname{Lie} Z_H(x)$. Then one can check that $U^{-\theta} \oplus D$ is complementary to the space $\{([z, x], zv) \mid z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\theta}\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta} \oplus V$, which is the tangent space at (x, v) of the H-orbit $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ containing (x, v). Put $S = (x, v) + U^{-\theta} \oplus D$. Then S is a transversal slice in $\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta} \oplus V$ for $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ at (x, v), in the following sense, $S \cap \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \{(x, v)\}$ and the tangent space of $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ at (x, v) is complementary to S.

Let $\xi' : \mathbf{k}^* \to G$ be the one parameter subgroup defined by

$$\xi'(t) v_{i,j} = t^{j - \mu_i^{(1)} - 1} v_{i,j}, \quad \xi'(t) v'_{i,j} = t^{\mu_i^{(2)} - j} v'_{i,j}.$$

We define an action ξ of $\mathbf{k}^*$ on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ by $\xi(t)(z, w) = (\operatorname{Ad}(\xi'(t)) tz, \xi'(t) tw)$, where tz, tw denote the scalar action of $\mathbf{k}^*$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, V. Then by definition, $\xi(t)$ fixes $v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i,\mu_i^{(1)}}$, and acts on D linearly with strictly positive weights. Since $xv_{i,j} = v_{i,j-1}$ or zero, and $xv'_{i,j} = v'_{i,j+1}$ or zero, $\xi(t)$ fixes x. Hence $\xi(t)$ fixes (x, v). Moreover, we have

$$\xi(t) z_{i_1,i_2,s} = t^{\mu_{i_2}^{(1)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(1)} + s + 1} z_{i_1,i_2,s},$$

and similar formulas also hold for $z_{i_1^*,i_2,s}, z_{i_1,i_2^*,s}, z_{i_1^*,i_2^*,s}$ with the same weight. By the condition on s, we see that $\mathbf{k}^*$ acts on U linearly with strictly positive weights. (In fact, this is clear if $i_2 \ge i_1$. If $i_2 \le i_1$, we have $\mu_{i_2}^{(1)} - \mu_{i_1}^{(1)} + s \ge \mu_{i_1}^{(2)} - \mu_{i_2}^{(2)} \ge 0$.) This implies that $\xi(t)$ stabilizes the subspace $U^{-\theta}$. Summing up the above argument, we see that $\mathbf{k}^*$ acts on the transversal slice S through ξ, linearly with strictly positive weights with the origin (x, v). Now the proposition follows by the argument due to Lusztig [L3, V, Proposition 24.6], who proved the purity of character sheaves in the case of reductive groups. □

Remark 4.5. Under the embedding $G_{\mathrm{uni}}^{\iota\theta} \simeq G_{\mathrm{uni}}^{\iota\theta} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, each H-orbit in $G_{\mathrm{uni}}^{\iota\theta}$ is regarded as an H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, which gives an embedding $G_{\mathrm{uni}}^{\iota\theta}/\!\sim_H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}/\!\sim_H$,

$\mathscr{O}_\lambda \mapsto \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ with $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (-;\lambda)$. This embedding preserves the closure relations, hence the closure relations of H-orbits in $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ are described from the closure relations of H-orbits in $\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, which is given by [AH, Theorem 6.3] (see [SS, (1.7.3)]). Thus for $\mathscr{O}_\mu, \mathscr{O}_\lambda \in G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, one sees that $\mathscr{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathscr{O}}_\lambda$ if and only if $\mu \le \lambda$ with respect to the dominance order on $\mathcal{P}_n$. Moreover the purity result in Proposition 4.4 holds also for $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathscr{O}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ attached to an H-orbit $\mathscr{O} \subset G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$.

5. Kostka polynomials

5.1. In this section, we prove that the intersection cohomology of the closure of H-orbits in $\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ can be interpreted in terms of Kostka polynomials introduced in [S2].

First we review some results on Kostka polynomials. Kostka functions associated to complex reflection groups were introduced in [S3],[S2] as a generalization of classical Kostka polynomials. In this section, we concentrate ourselves to a special case where Kostka functions are associated to "limit symbols" ([S2]), and related to the Weyl groups of either type C_n or type A_{n-1}. Apriori Kostka functions are rational functions. However, in the latter case, they are just classical Kostka polynomials, and in the former case, it is proved in [S2, Proposition 3.3] that Kostka functions (and modified Kostka functions) are actually polynomials. In order to discuss both cases simultaneously, we introduce some notations. For $r = 1, 2$, put $W_{n,r} = S_n \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z})^n$. Hence $W_{n,r}$ is the Weyl group W_n of type C_n if $r = 2$, and the symmetric group S_n if $r = 1$. In the case where $r = 1$ Kostka polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ associated to $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ are nothing but the classical Kostka polynomials defined as the coefficients of the transition matrix between Schur functions $s_\lambda(x)$ and Hall-Littlewood functions $P_\mu(x;t)$. Similarly, for the case $r = 2$, Kostka polynomials $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ associated to the double partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ are defined as the coefficients of the transition matrix between Schur functions $s_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x)$ and $P_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(x;t)$, where $P_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(x;t)$ is the Hall-Littlewood functions introduced in [S2]. We define a modified Kostka polynomial $\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ by

$$\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = t^{a(\boldsymbol{\mu})} K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t^{-1}),$$

where the a-function $a(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ is defined, for $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)})$, by

$$a(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = 2 \cdot n(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + |\mu^{(2)}|. \tag{5.1.1}$$

Here $n(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = n(\mu^{(1)}) + n(\mu^{(2)})$ with the usual n-function $n(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(i-1)\mu_i$ for a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$. Following [S2], we give a combinatorial characterization of Kostka polynomials $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ and $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$. For a (not necessarily irreducible) character χ of $W_{n,r}$, we define the fake degree $R(\chi)$ by

$$R(\chi) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(t^{ir}-1)}{|W_{n,r}|} \sum_{w \in W_{n,r}} \frac{\varepsilon(w)\chi(w)}{\det_{V_0}(t-w)}, \tag{5.1.2}$$

where ε is the sign character of $W_{n,r}$, and $\det_{V_0}(t-w)$ means the determinant of $t-w$ on the $W_{n,r}$-module V_0; here V_0 is the reflection representation of W_n for $r=2$, and its restriction on S_n if $r=1$. Note that $R(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}[t]$; if χ is irreducible, $R(\chi)$ coincides with the graded multiplicity of χ in the coinvariant algebra $R(W_{n,r})$ of $W_{n,r}$. We define a square matrix $\Omega = (\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}})_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,r}}$ by

$$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}} = t^N R(\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes \chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes \varepsilon), \tag{5.1.3}$$

where N is the number of reflections of $W_{n,r}$, which is also given as the maximal degree of $R(W_{n,r})$, and $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is the irreducible character of $W_{n,r}$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,r}$. Here $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a polynomial in t, which we denote by $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$. In the case where $r=2$, it is known that $\det(q-w) = |T_w^{\theta,F}|$ for each $w \in W_n$, where T_w^{θ} is an F-stable maximal torus of H corresponding to $w \in W_n$. Then (5.1.3) can be written as

$$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q) = |H^F||W_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in W_n}|T_w^{\theta,F}|^{-1}\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w). \tag{5.1.4}$$

In the case where $r=1$, a similar formula holds as above;

$$\omega_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = |GL_n^F||S_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in S_n}|S_w^F|^{-1}\chi^{\lambda}(w)\chi^{\mu}(w), \tag{5.1.5}$$

where S_w is an F-stable maximal torus of GL_n corresponding to $w \in S_n$.

Recall the partial order $\boldsymbol{\mu} \le \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ defined in [SS, 1.7]. We have the following result.

Theorem 5.2 ([S2, Theorem 5.4]). *Assume that $r=2$. There exist unique matrices $P = (p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}})$, $\varLambda = (\xi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ over $\mathbf{Q}[t]$ satisfying the equation*

$$P\varLambda\,{}^tP = \Omega$$

subject to the condition that $\varLambda$ is a diagonal matrix and that

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{unless } \boldsymbol{\mu} \le \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \\ t^{a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} & \textit{if } \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \end{cases}$$

Then the entry $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ of the matrix P coincides with $\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$.

Remark 5.3. In the case where $r=1$, it is known that the modified Kostka polynomials $\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ are characterized by a similar formula; consider a matrix equation $P\varLambda\,{}^tP = \Omega$ as in the theorem, where the partial order on $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ is replaced by the dominance order $\mu \le \lambda$ on $\mathcal{P}_n$, and the function $a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is replaced by $n(\lambda)$. Then each entry $p_{\lambda,\mu}$ coincides with $\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$.

5.4. Let $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$) be the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-space of all H^F-invariant $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-functions on $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ (resp. on $(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})^F$). We define a bilinear form $\langle f, h\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$

by

$$\langle f, h\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}} = \sum_{z\in\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}} f(z)h(z),$$

and similarly define a bilinear form $\langle f, h\rangle_{\mathrm{sym}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ by replacing $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ by $(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})^F$ in the above formula. For each $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, we define $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\in\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ by

$$Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = |W_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in W_n}\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)Q_{T_w}. \tag{5.4.1}$$

In view of (4.1.2), we have $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{X}-\dim\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}}\chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$, where $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} : F^*A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\xrightarrow{\sim} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is as in 4.1. Next, under the notation in 4.2, we define $Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ by

$$Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\lambda = |S_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in S_n}\chi^\lambda(w)\Psi_w(q)^{-1}Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_{T_w}.$$

By (4.2.2), $Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\lambda = (-1)^{\dim G^{\iota\theta}-\dim G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}}\chi_{A_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda}$, where $\varphi_\lambda : F^*A_\lambda\xrightarrow{\sim} A_\lambda$ is as in 4.2. We have the following result.

Proposition 5.5. (i) *For each* $\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$*, we have*

$$\langle Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, Q_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}} = |H^F||W_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in W_n}|T^{\theta,F}_w|^{-1}\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w).$$

(ii) *For each* $\lambda,\mu\in\mathcal{P}_n$*, we have*

$$\langle Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\lambda, Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\mu\rangle_{\mathrm{sym}} = |GL^{F^2}_n||S_n|^{-1}\sum_{w\in S_n}|T^{\iota\theta,F^2}_w|^{-1}\chi^\lambda(w)\chi^\mu(w).$$

Proof. We show (i). By the orthogonality relations for Green functions (Theorem 3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, Q_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}} &= |W_n|^{-2}\sum_{w,w'\in W_n}\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w')\langle Q_{T_w}, Q_{T_{w'}}\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}}\\ &= |H^F||W_n|^{-2}\sum_{w,w'\in W_n}\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)\chi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(w')\delta_{w,w'}|N_H(T^\theta_w)^F||T^{\theta,F}_w|^{-2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{w,w'} = 1$ if w and w' are conjugate in W_n and $\delta_{w,w'} = 0$ otherwise. Since $|N_H(T^\theta_w)^F|/|T^{\theta,F}_w| = |(N_H(T^\theta_0)/T^\theta_0)^{wF}| = |Z_{W_n}(w)|$, we obtain the formula in (i).

We show (ii). By the orthogonality relations for Green functions (Theorem 3.12), a similar computation as above implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\lambda, Q^{\mathrm{sym}}_\mu\rangle_{\mathrm{sym}} = |H^F||S_n|^{-2}&\sum_{w,w'\in S_n}\chi^\lambda(w)\chi^\mu(w')\times\\ &\times\delta_{w,w'}q^{-2n}\Psi_w(q)^{-2}|N_H(T^{\iota\theta}_w)^F||T^{\iota\theta F}_w|^{-2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{w,w'} = 1$ if w, w' are conjugate under S_n, and $\delta_{w,w'} = 0$ otherwise. Now

$$|N_H(T_w^{\iota\theta})^F|/|Z_H(T_w^{\iota\theta})^F| = |(N_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})/Z_H(T_0^{\iota\theta}))^{wF}| = |Z_{S_n}(w)|$$

since $N_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})/Z_H(T_0^{\iota\theta}) = \mathcal{W} \simeq S_n$, on which F acts trivially. Moreover we have

$$Z_H(T_w^{\iota\theta})^F \simeq Z_H(T_0^{\iota\theta})^{wF} \simeq ((SL_2)^n)^{wF},$$

where w acts on $(SL_2)^n$ by a permutation of factors. Assume that w is of type $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)$. Then

$$|Z_H(T_w^{\iota\theta})^F| = q^n \prod_{i=1}^{k} (q^{2\nu_i} - 1).$$

Since $|T_w^{\iota\theta, F}| = \prod_{i=1}^k (q^{\nu_i} - 1)$, we have

$$\Psi_w(q)^{-2} |T_w^{\iota\theta,F}|^{-2} |Z_H(T_w^{\iota\theta})^F| = q^n (\prod_{i=1}^{k} (q^{2\nu_i} - 1))^{-1} = q^n |T_w^{\iota\theta, F^2}|^{-1}.$$

By noticing that $q^{-n}|H^F| = q^{(n^2-n)} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i} - 1) = |GL_n^{F^2}|$, we obtain the formula in (ii). $\square$

5.6. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, we define a polynomial $\mathrm{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ by

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \dim \mathcal{H}_z^{2i} \, \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) t^i, \tag{5.6.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is an H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$, and $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Similarly for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we define a polynomial $\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{sym}}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ by

$$\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{sym}}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \dim \mathcal{H}_x^{2i} \, \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) t^i, \tag{5.6.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is an H-orbit in $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$. Now we prove the following theorem, which was conjectured by Achar-Henderson in [AH, Conjecture 6.4].

Theorem 5.7. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$.

(i) $\mathrm{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{H}^i \, \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = 0$ *unless* $i \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

(iii) *The eigenvalues of* $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ *on* $\mathcal{H}_z^{4i} \, \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ *are* q^{2i} *for any* $z \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

Proof. Let $Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ be the characteristic function on $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^F$ for each $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, i.e., $Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z) = 1$ if $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^F$ and $Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\{Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}\}$ gives a basis of $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$. Since $A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is an H-equivariant perverse sheaf, we have $\chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}})$. Hence $\chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ is written as $\chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}} Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, where $Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ appearing in the sum satisfies the condition that $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, which is by [AH, Theorem 6.3] (see [SS, (1.7.3)]) equivalent to $\boldsymbol{\mu} \le \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Here we note that

$$d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = a(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \tag{5.7.1}$$

by [SS, Lemma 2.3]. Then together with (4.1.1), we see that $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = q^{d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} = q^{a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$. We consider the matrix $P = (p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}})$, and define a matrix $\varLambda = (\xi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ by $\xi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \langle Y_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, Y_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\rangle_{\mathrm{ex}}$. Then $\varLambda$ is a diagonal matrix. Now it follows from the equation in Proposition 5.5 (i), together with the formula (5.1.4) that the matrices $P, \varLambda, \Omega$ satisfies the relation $P\varLambda\, {}^tP = \Omega(q)$, where $\Omega(q)$ denotes the matrix Ω evaluated by $t = q$ for each entry $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$. We write $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ as $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q)$ to indicate its dependence on $\mathbf{F}_q$. Then by Theorem 5.2, we see that $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q) = \widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q)$. On the other hand, one can write

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q) = \chi_{A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(z) = \sum_i (-1)^i Tr(\varphi^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \mathcal{H}^i_z A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \tag{5.7.2}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{O}^F_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, where $\varphi^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is the map on $\mathcal{H}^i_z A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ induced from $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. If we replace $\mathbf{F}_q$ by its extension $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ for a positive integer m, the above formula is replaced by

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q^m) = \sum_i (-1)^i Tr((\varphi^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})^m, \mathcal{H}^i_z A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \tag{5.7.3}$$

for a fixed $z \in \mathcal{O}^F_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is even, $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q)$ coincides with the formula obtained from (5.7.2) by replacing $A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ by $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. Thus also in (5.7.3), we may replace $A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ by $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. By (4.1.1) and by Proposition 4.4, $q^{-d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is pointwise pure. This implies that $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q^m)$ can be written as

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q^m) = q^{md_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \sum_i (-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (\alpha_{i,j} q^{i/2})^m, \tag{5.7.4}$$

where $k_i = \dim \mathcal{H}^i_z$ for $\mathcal{H}^i_z = \mathcal{H}^i_z\, \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$, and $\{\alpha_{i,j} q^{i/2} \mid 1 \le j \le k_i\}$ are eigenvalues of $\varphi^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{H}^i_z$ such that $\alpha_{i,j}$ are algebraic integers all of whose complex conjugate have absolute value 1. Since $p_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q^m) = \widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(q^m)$, and $\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t, by Dedekind's theorem, (5.7.4) implies that $\alpha_{i,j} = 0$ for odd i, and $\alpha_{i,j} = 1$ for even i if $\mathcal{H}^i_z \ne 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{H}^i_z = 0$ for odd i and $\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \alpha_{i,j} q^{i/2} = (\dim \mathcal{H}^i_z) q^{i/2}$ for even i. Hence by (5.7.1) we see that $\mathrm{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ and (i) holds.

Next we show (ii). It is enough to see that

$$t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t^2]. \tag{5.7.5}$$

Let D be the diagonal matrix whose $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$-entry is $t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$. Then by Theorem 5.2, $t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ is given as the $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu})$-entry of the matrix DP. By Theorem 5.2, we have a matrix equation $(DP)\varLambda\, {}^t(DP) = D\Omega D$. Here DP is a lower unitriangular matrix if we consider the matrix with respect to a total order compatible with the partial order $\le$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. Hence DP is determined uniquely from this matrix equation. The $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-entry of $D\Omega D$ is given by $t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-a(\boldsymbol{\mu})}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$. We note that

$$t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-a(\boldsymbol{\mu})}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = (-t)^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-a(\boldsymbol{\mu})}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(-t). \tag{5.7.6}$$

In fact, W_n contains -1 as a central element, and $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(-w) = \chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(-1)\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(w)$. From the construction of $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, we see that $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(-1) = (-1)^{|\lambda^{(2)}|}$. Thus by (5.1.2) and (5.1.4), we have $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(-t) = (-1)^{|\lambda^{(2)}|+|\mu^{(2)}|}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$. By (5.1.1), we have $(-t)^{a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = (-1)^{|\lambda^{(2)}|}t^{a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$. Hence (5.7.6) follows. Now by the uniqueness of DP in the above matrix equation, we see that each entry of DP is not changed by replacing t by $-t$. Hence (5.7.5) holds, and (ii) is proved.

(iii) follows from the discussion in (i) and (ii). The theorem is proved. □

Remarks 5.8 (i) In [AH], Achar-Henderson suggested a way for the proof of their conjecture. This idea was recently carried out by Kato (in the case where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}$). He showed in [Ka3, Theorem A], for each $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, that the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_z, \mathbf{C})$ has a De Concini-Procesi type interpretation as in the case of GL_n ([DP]), i.e., there exists a graded algebra isomorphism between $H^\bullet(\mathcal{B}_z, \mathbf{C})$ and $R_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, compatible with the action of W_n, where $I_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is the ideal of all polynomials $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $p(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ annihilates the Specht module $S_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ realized in the homogeneous component of $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $a(\boldsymbol{\mu})$. Let $R^i_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be the W_n-module obtained as the i-th homogeneous part of $R_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. It was conjectured in [S2, 3.13] that for any irreducible character $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of W_n, we have

$$\sum_{i\ge 0}\langle R^i_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \chi^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\rangle_{W_n} t^i = \widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t).$$

Kato proved this conjecture in [Ka5, Theorem A.2] and in [Ka4, Theorem A.1 8]. Thus combined with his earlier result in [Ka3], Kato's results provide a proof of Achar-Henderson's conjecture.

Our approach for the conjecture is based on another idea explained in [AH].

(ii) Let $G = GL(V)$ with $\dim V = n$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ be the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$. The G-orbits of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ are parametrized by $\mathcal{P}_n$. The polynomial $\mathrm{IC}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ is defined similar to (5.6.1) or (5.6.2), by using the intersection cohomology associated to the nilpotent orbit corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$. It is a well-known result by Lusztig [L1] that

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^{-n(\lambda)}\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t), \tag{5.8.1}$$

where $\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ is the (modified) classical Kostka polynomial indexed by $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We now consider the variety $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$, which is called the enhanced nilpotent cone by [AH]. It is known that G-orbits of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ are parametrized naturally by $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. The polynomial $\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{en}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ is defined similarly by using the intersection cohomology associated the the orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. In [AH, Theorem

5.2], Achar-Henderson proved, as an analogy of (5.8.1), that

$$\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{en}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t^2) = t^{-a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t). \tag{5.8.2}$$

The fact that $\widetilde{K}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t and the property (5.7.5) follow also from this.

5.9. Next we show a similar formula in the case of $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. The following theorem was first proved by Henderson in [H1, Theorem 6.3]. However his argument depends in part on a result from [BKS]. Our argument is independent from [BKS], and it is proved in a similar way as the case of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$.

Theorem 5.10. *Assume that* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

(i) $\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{sym}}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^{-2n(\lambda)}\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t^2)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{H}^i\,\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = 0$ *unless* $i \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

(iii) *The eigenvalues of* ϕ_λ *on* $\mathcal{H}^{4i}_x\,\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ *are* q^{2i} *for any* $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$.

Proof. Let $Y_\mu \in \mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$ be the characteristic function of $\mathcal{O}^F_\mu$ for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then $\{Y_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ gives a basis of $\mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$. Since $\chi_{A_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}_q(G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}})$, one can write $\chi_{A_\lambda,\varphi_\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}_n} p_{\lambda,\mu}Y_\mu$ with $p_{\lambda,\mu} \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, where Y_μ appearing in the sum satisfies the condition $\mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$, which is equivalent to the condition that $\mu \le \lambda$ with respect to the dominance order on $\mathcal{P}_n$ (see Remark 4.5). Here we note that

$$d_\lambda = 2n(\lambda). \tag{5.10.1}$$

Hence by (4.2.1), we have $p_{\lambda,\lambda} = q^{d_\lambda} = q^{2n(\lambda)}$. Let $P = (p_{\lambda,\mu})$ and $\varLambda = (\xi_{\lambda,\mu})$, where $\xi_{\lambda,\mu} = \langle Y_\lambda, Y_\mu\rangle_{\mathrm{sym}}$. Let $\Omega(t) = (\omega_{\lambda,\mu}(t))$ be the matrix defined in 5.1. Then by comparing Proposition 5.5 (ii) with (5.1.5), we have a matrix relation $P\varLambda\,{}^tP = \Omega(q^2)$. (Note that $T^{\iota\theta,F}_w \simeq S^F_w$ for $w \in S_n$.) We write $p_{\lambda,\mu}$ as $p_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ to indicate the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure. Then by Remark 5.3, we see that $p_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(q^2)$. Now by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.7 (the purity result such as Proposition 4.4 holds in this case, see Remark 4.5), we see that $\mathrm{IC}^{\mathrm{sym}}_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = t^{-2n(\lambda)}\widetilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(t^2)$, which proves (i). The statements (ii) and (iii) follows from this. □

6. H^F-INVARIANT FUNCTIONS ON $\mathcal{X}^F$

6.1. We consider the complex $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ as in (1.3.1). Then by [SS, 3.5], $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ can be written in the form

$$K_{T,\mathcal{E}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\wedge_{\mathcal{E}}} \rho \otimes K_\rho, \tag{6.1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{W}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}} \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$, K_ρ is a simple perverse sheaf of the form $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L})[d_m]$ for a simple local system $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}^0_m$. Assume that $T = T_0$, and for a tame local system $\mathcal{E}$ on $T^{\iota\theta}_0$, let $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid (wF)^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}\}$.

We assume that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $w_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}} w_1$. We put $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}} w_1$. Here $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a Weyl subgroup of $\mathcal{W} \simeq S_n$, and we may choose w_1 so that $\gamma = w_1 F$ acts on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ as a permutation of factors. We consider the semidirect product $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}} \ltimes \langle \gamma \rangle$, where $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic group generated by γ. Then the action of γ on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is extended to $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$. We have a canonical isomorphism $\varphi : (F_1)^* K_{T_0, \mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T_0, \mathcal{E}}$ by a similar argument as in 1.3. If $\rho \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\wedge}$ is $w_1 F$-stable, the direct summand K_ρ in (6.1.1) is $w_1 F$-stable. We denote by $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$ the set of $w_1 F$-stable characters of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and denote by φ_1 the restriction of φ on the whole sum of $\rho \otimes K_\rho$ for $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$. For each representation $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$, we fix an extension $\widetilde{\rho}$ of ρ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}} \ltimes \langle \gamma \rangle$, where the action of γ is given by γ_ρ. We define an isomorphism $\varphi_\rho : (F_1)^* K_\rho \xrightarrow{\sim} K_\rho$ for each $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$ by the condition that $\varphi_1 = \sum_{\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}} \gamma_\rho \otimes \varphi_\rho$. We now consider a pair $(T_w, \mathcal{E}_w)$ for $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \simeq W_n$, where $T_w = hTh^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_w = (h^{-1})^* \mathcal{E}$ as in 1.2. Note that the condition $\mathcal{E}_w$ is an F-stable local system on $T_w^{\iota\theta}$ is equivalent to the condition that $(\bar{w}F)^* \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}$, and so to the condition that $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $\bar{w}$ is the image of w under the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W}$. We have a canonical isomorphism $\varphi_w : F^* K_{T_w, \mathcal{E}_w} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{T_w, \mathcal{E}_w}$, and denote by $\chi_{T_w, \mathcal{E}_w}$ the corresponding function. Then by (1.7.3), the following formula holds for $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

$$\chi_{T_{ww_1}, \mathcal{E}_{ww_1}} = \sum_{\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}} \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma) \chi_{K_\rho, \varphi_\rho}, \tag{6.1.2}$$

where $\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}$ is the character of $\widetilde{\rho}$. We show the following result.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be tame local systems on $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}'} \neq \emptyset$. Then for $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$, $\rho' \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'})^{\wedge}_{\text{ex}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &|H^F|^{-1} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}^F} \chi_{K_\rho, \varphi_\rho}(z) \chi_{K_{\rho'}, \varphi_{\rho'}}(z) \\ &\qquad = |\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}|^{-1} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}} |T_{ww_1}^{\iota\theta, F}| |T_{ww_1}^{\theta, F}|^{-1} \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma) \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}'}(w\gamma) \end{aligned} \tag{6.2.1}$$

if $\mathcal{E}'$ is isomorphic to the dual local system $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ of $\mathcal{E}$, and is equal to zero otherwise. (Note that if $\mathcal{E}' \simeq \mathcal{E}^\wedge$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and we regard ρ' as an element in $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{ex}$.)

Proof. By (6.1.2), and by the orthogonality relations for extended irreducible characters (see [L3, (10.3.1)]), we have

$$\chi_{K_\rho, \varphi_\rho} = |\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}|^{-1} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}} \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma) \chi_{T_{ww_1}, \mathcal{E}_{ww_1}}$$

and a similar formula holds for $\chi_{K_{\rho'}, \varphi_{\rho'}}$. Let ϑ_w (resp. $\vartheta'_{w'}$) be the character of $T_{ww_1}^{\iota\theta, F}$ (resp. $T_{w'w_1'}^{\iota\theta, F}$) corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_w$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}'_{w'}$). Then by Theorem 3.4, the left hand side of (6.2.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}|^{-1}|\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'}|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{w\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}\\ w'\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'}}}|T^{\theta,F}_{ww_1}|^{-1}|T^{\theta,F}_{w'w'_1}|^{-1}\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma)\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}'}(w'\gamma') \\
&\qquad\times\sum_{\substack{n\in N_H(T^{\theta}_{ww_1},T^{\theta}_{w'w'_1})^F\\ t\in T^{\iota\theta,F}_{ww_1}}}\vartheta_w(t)\vartheta'_{w'}(n^{-1}tn).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.2.2}$$

Let $A_{w,w'}=\sum\vartheta_w(t)\vartheta'_{w'}(n^{-1}tn)$ be the second sum in (6.2.2). Then $A_{w,w'}=0$ unless T_{ww_1} and $T_{w'w'_1}$ are conjugate by $n\in N_H(T^{\theta}_{ww_1},T^{\theta}_{w'w'_1})^F$ such that $\vartheta'_{w'}=(\operatorname{ad}n)^*(\vartheta_w^{-1})$, in which case

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{w,w'}&=\sharp\{n\in N_H(T^{\theta}_{ww_1},T^{\theta}_{w'w'_1})^F\mid\vartheta'_{w'}=(\operatorname{ad}n)^*(\vartheta_w^{-1})\}|T^{\iota\theta,F}_{ww_1}| \\
&=|Z_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}}(w\sigma_{\rho})||T^{\theta,F}_{ww_1}||T^{\iota\theta,F}_{ww_1}|
\end{aligned}$$

since $N_H(T^{\theta}_{ww_1},T^{\theta}_{w'w'_1})^F/T^{\theta,F}_{ww_1}\simeq Z_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}}(w\sigma_{\rho})$, and the condition $\vartheta'_{w'}=(\operatorname{ad}n)^*(\vartheta_w^{-1})$ corresponds to the condition that the image $\bar{n}$ of n to $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ gives an isomorphism $\operatorname{ad}(\bar{n}^{-1}):\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}\,\tilde{\to}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathcal{E}}\,\tilde{\to}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathcal{E}'}$. Under this identification, w_1 is mapped to w'_1, and $w'\gamma'$ corresponds to an element conjugate to $w\gamma$ by an element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Thus we may regard ρ' as an element in $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\wedge}_{\mathrm{ex}}$. It follows that the sum in (6.2.1) turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}|^{-2}&\sum_{\substack{w,w'\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}\\ w\gamma\sim w'\gamma'}}|Z_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}}(w\gamma)||T^{\iota\theta,F}_{ww_1}||T^{\theta,F}_{ww_1}|^{-1}\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma)\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}'}(w'\gamma') \\
=|\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}|^{-1}&\sum_{w\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}}|T^{\iota\theta,F}_{ww_1}||T^{\theta,F}_{ww_1}|^{-1}\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma)\chi_{\widetilde{\rho}'}(w\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the condition $\vartheta'_{w'}=(\operatorname{ad}n)^*\vartheta_w^{-1}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}'\simeq\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, the proposition follows. □

6.3. In analogy to the case of $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$, we shall give a parametrization of H^F-orbits in $\mathcal{X}^F$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ is the set of double partitions of n. Let $\mathcal{P}^{(2)}=\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$be the set of all double partitions. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ we put $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|=n$. The Frobenius map $x\mapsto x^q$ acts naturally on the multiplicative group $\mathbf{k}^*$ of $\mathbf{k}$, and we denote by Ξ_q the set of F-orbits in $\mathbf{k}^*$. For each F-orbit $\xi\in\Xi_q$, let $|\xi|$ be the length of the orbit ξ. We denote by $\Phi_{n,q}$ the set of $\mathcal{P}^{(2)}$-valued functions $\varLambda$ on Ξ_q subject to the condition

$$\sum_{\xi\in\Xi_q}|\xi|\cdot|\varLambda(\xi)|=n.$$

There is an embedding $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}\hookrightarrow\Phi_{n,q}$ by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\mapsto\varLambda$, where $\varLambda(\xi)=\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ if $\xi=\{e\}\subset\mathbf{k}^*$ and is equal to zero otherwise. We know that the set of H^F-orbits in $\mathcal{X}^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ is parametrized by $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. We note that

(6.3.1) The set of H^F-orbits in $\mathcal{X}^F$ is parametrized by $\Phi_{n,q}$.

In fact the parametrization is done, first by parameterizing semisimple H^F-orbits in $(G^{\iota\theta})^F$, and then by parameterizing $Z_H(s)^F$-orbits in $(Z_G(s)^{\iota\theta} \times V)^F_{\mathrm{uni}}$ for a semisimple element $s \in (G^{\iota\theta})^F$ (see 2.2). Since the parametrization of semisimple H^F-orbits in $(G^{\iota\theta})^F$ is equivalent to that of semisimple classes in GL_n^F by [BKS, Lemma 2.3.4], (6.3.1) follows.

6.4. For a given pair $(T, \mathcal{E})$, where T is a θ-stable maximal torus of G contained in a θ-stable Borel subgroup, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$, we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{(T,\mathcal{E})}$ the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ appearing in the decomposition of $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$. Recall that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ of (isomorphism classes of) character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ is a union of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{(T,\mathcal{E})}$ for a various choice of $(T, \mathcal{E})$ (see [SS, 4.1]). We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F$ the set of character sheaves A such that $F^*A \simeq A$. For $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F$, we fix an isomorphism $\varphi_A : F^*A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, and consider the characteristic function χ_{A,φ_A} on $\mathcal{X}^F$. Thus χ_{A,φ_A} is an H^F-invariant function on $\mathcal{X}^F$, which depends only on A up to scalar.

Let $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ be the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-space of H^F-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}^F$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. (i) *Assume that $(T, \mathcal{E})$ and $(T', \mathcal{E}')$ are not H-conjugate. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{(T,\mathcal{E})}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{(T',\mathcal{E}')}$ are disjoint.*

(ii) *$\{\chi_{A,\varphi_A} \mid A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F\}$ gives rise to a basis of $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a tame local system on $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} \neq \emptyset$. One can construct functions $\chi_{K_\rho,\varphi_\rho}$ as in 6.1 for each $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^\wedge_{\mathrm{ex}}$ by decomposing $K_{T_{ww_1},\mathcal{E}_{ww_1}}$. We show that

(6.5.1) The functions $\chi_{K_\rho,\varphi_\rho}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ runs over tame local systems on $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}} \neq \emptyset$, and $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^\wedge_{\mathrm{ex}}$, are linearly independent.

We define a bilinear form on $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$\langle f, h \rangle = |H^F|^{-1} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}^F} f(z)h(z)$$

for $f, h \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$. In order to prove (6.5.1), it is enough to show by Proposition 6.2, that the matrix $Z = (\langle \chi_{K_\rho,\varphi_\rho}, \chi_{K_{\rho'},\varphi_{\rho'}} \rangle)_{\rho,\rho'}$ is nondegenerate for a fixed $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ such that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}^\vee$ (here $\rho \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}})^\wedge_{\mathrm{ex}}, \rho' \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}'})^\wedge_{\mathrm{ex}}$). Note that for $w \in W_n$, $|T_w^{\theta,F}|$ is the order of an F-stable maximal torus in Sp_{2n} corresponding to $w \in W_n$, and $|T_w^{\iota\theta,F}|$ is the order of an F-stable maximal torus $S_{\bar{w}}$ of GL_n, where $\bar{w}$ is the image of w under the map $W_n \to S_n$. Then again by Proposition 6.2, one can write

$$\langle \chi_{K_\rho,\varphi_\rho}, \chi_{K_{\rho'},\varphi_{\rho'}} \rangle = R(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}} R_w(q) \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(w\gamma) \chi_{\widetilde{\rho}'}(w\gamma'),$$

where $R(t), R_w(t)$ are polynomials in t such that $R_w(t)$ is a monic of the same degree for any w. Hence by the orthogonality relations for extended irreducible characters of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$, each entry of $R(q)Z$ is a polynomial in q, the diagonal entries have the same degree, and off diagonal entries have strictly smaller degrees. Thus Z is nondegerate and (6.5.1) holds.

Now $\mathcal{E}$ determines a tame local system $\mathcal{E}_0$ on an F-split maximal torus S_0 of GL_n, and so determines an F-stable semisimple class (s_0) in the dual group $GL_n^* \simeq GL_n$. Thus it determines an F-stable semisimple class (s) in $G^{\iota\theta}$. The class (s) has the property that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is isomorphic to the Weyl group of $Z_H(s)$. It follows that the cardinality of functions in (6.5.1) coincides with the cardinality of $\Phi_{n,q}$. This implies that the functions in (6.5.1) gives a basis of $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$. If the pair $(T, \mathcal{E})$ is F-stable, it determines a unique $\mathcal{E}_0$ on $T_0^{\iota\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{E}_0} \neq \emptyset$, and this give a bijection between $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F_{(T,\mathcal{E})}$ and the set in (6.5.1) corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_0$. Hence (ii) and (i) follows from this. □

References

[AH] P. Achar and A. Henderson; Orbit closures in the enhanced nilpotent cone, Adv. in Math. **219** (2008), 27-62, Corrigendum, **228** (2011), 2984-2988.

[BKS] E. Bannai, N. Kawanaka and S.-Y. Song; The character table of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(GL_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q), Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q))$, J. Algebra, **129** (1990), 320–366.

[DP] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, Symmetric functions, conjugacy classes, and the flag variety, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 203-230.

[H1] A. Henderson; Fourier transform, parabolic induction, and nilpotent orbits, Transformation groups, **6**, (2001), 353-370.

[HT] A. Henderson and P.E. Trapa; The exotic Robinson- Schensted correspondence, preprint, arXiv:1111.5050v1.

[Ka1] S. Kato; An exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence, Duke Math. J. **148** (2009), 306-371.

[Ka3] S. Kato; A homological study of Green polynomials, preprint. arXiv:1111.4640v4.

[Ka4] S. Kato; An algebraic study of extension algebras, preprint. arXiv:1207.4640.

[Le] E. Letellier; Fourier transforms of invariant functions of finite reductive Lie algebras, Lecture Note in Math., **1859**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.

[L1] G. Lusztig; Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes, Adv. in Math. **42** (1981), 169-178.

[L3] G. Lusztig; Character sheaves, II, Adv. in Math., **57** (1985), 226-265, V, ibid., **61** (1986), 103-155.

[L5] G. Lusztig; Character sheaves on disconnected groups, III, Represent. Theory **8** (2004), 125-144.

[S2] T.Shoji; Green functions attached to limit symbols, Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, Adv. Stu. Pure Math. vol. **40**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo 2004, 443-467.

[S3] T. Shoji; Green functions associated to complex reflection groups, J. Algebra, **245** (2001), 650-694.

[SS] T. Shoji and K. Sorlin; Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, I, preprint.

T. Shoji
Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
E-mail: `shoji@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

K. Sorlin
L.A.M.F.A, CNRS UMR 7352, Université de Picardie-Jules Verne
33 rue Saint Leu, F-80039, Amiens, Cedex 1, France
E-mail : `karine.sorlin@u-picardie.fr`